

## LECTURE ROSENTHAL

### RECALL - NOTATION

$$\ell_1 = \left\{ (x_n)_{n \in \omega} \subseteq \mathbb{R} \mid \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_n| < \infty \right\}$$

$$x \in \ell_1, \quad \|x\|_1 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_n|$$

$$\ell_\infty = \left\{ (x_n)_{n \in \omega} \subseteq \mathbb{R} \mid \sup_{n \in \omega} |x_n| < \infty \right\}$$

$$x \in \ell_\infty, \quad \|x\|_\infty = \sup_{n \in \omega} |x_n| < \infty$$

### DUAL SPACE

$\ell_1^* = \{f: \ell_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid f \text{ is continuous linear functional}\}$

$\ell_1^*$  BANACH SPACE WITH

$$\|f\|_{\ell_1^*} = \sup_{\substack{\|x\| \leq 1 \\ x \in \ell_1}} |f(x)|$$

### NOTICE

$$\ell_1^* \cong \ell_\infty$$

$$\ell_\infty^*$$

### NOTICE

There is an isometric isomorphism

$$C: B \rightarrow B^{**}$$

$B$  = Banach space

$$x \mapsto \underbrace{x(f)}_{\downarrow} = f(x)$$

definition

element in bidual

## WEAK CONVERGENCE

Let  $B$  be a Banach space and  $(x_m)_{m \in \omega} \subseteq B$ .

We say that  $(x_m)_{m \in \omega}$  weak converge if

$$(f(x_m))_{m \in \omega} \xrightarrow{\epsilon_R} f(x)$$

for each continuous linear functional  $f$ .

WEAKLY-CAUCHY IF  $(f(x_m))_{m \in \omega}$  is Cauchy.

CONVERGENCE  $\Rightarrow$  WEAK CONVERGENCE



EQUIVALENT TO THE USUAL  $\ell^1$ -BASIS

Let  $(x_n)_{n \in \omega}$  be a sequence in  $B$  Banach space.

We say that  $(x_n)_{n \in \omega}$  is equivalent to the usual  $\ell^1$ -basis if  $\exists a, b \in \mathbb{R}^+$  such that  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$

$\forall c_0, \dots, c_{n-1} \in \mathbb{R}$

$$a \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |c_i| \leq \left\| \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} c_i x_i \right\| \leq b \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |c_i|$$

NOTICE

This means  $\overline{\text{span}((x_n)_{n \in \omega})} \cong \ell_1$

$$f: \ell_1 \rightarrow B$$

$$(c_n)_{n \in \omega} \mapsto \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} c_i x_i$$

NOTATION

Let  $S$  be a set we define

$$\ell^\infty(S) = \{ f: S \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid \|f\|_\infty := \sup_{x \in S} |f(x)| < \infty \}$$

## ROSENTHAL THEOREM (1974)

Let  $S$  be a set and  $(f_n)_{n \in \omega}$  be a bounded sequence in  $\ell^\infty(S)$  then there exists  $(f_{m_n})_{n \in \omega}$  such that

- ①  $(f_{m_n})$  is weakly - Cauchy  
[ pointwise converge ]

or

- ②  $(f_{m_n})$  is equivalent to the usual  $\ell^1$ -basis.

Why this theorem gives us information about the Banach spaces that have an isomorphic copy to  $\ell^1$ ?

### COROLLARY

If  $B$  is a Banach space, then the following are equivalent :

- ① Every bounded sequence  $(x_n)_{n \in \omega}$  in  $B$  has a weakly Cauchy subsequence
- ②  $\ell^1$  does not embed in  $X$

PROOF

$1 \Rightarrow 2$

It is enough prove that the canonical basis  $(e_n)_{n \in \omega}$  of  $\ell^1$  has not weakly Cauchy subsequence.

Let  $(e_{n_k})_{k \in \omega}$  be a subsequence, then we define  $f \in \ell^\infty \cong \ell^{\infty}$  as follows

$$f(\kappa) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \exists i \in \omega (\kappa = n_{2i}) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

This  $f \in \ell^\infty \cong \ell^{1*}$ , so

$$f(e_{n_k}) = \sum_{i \in \omega} e_{n_k(i)} f(i) =$$

$$= f(n_k)$$

$\uparrow$

$$e_{n_k(i)} = 0 \quad \forall i \neq n_k$$

$$e_{n_k}(n_k) = 1$$

So

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} f(e_{n_0}) = 1 \\ f(e_{n_1}) = 0 \\ f(e_{n_2}) = 1 \\ \vdots \end{array} \right\}$$

$(f(e_{n_k}))_{k \in \omega}$  is not convergent  $\Rightarrow$

$\Rightarrow (e_{n_k})_{k \in \omega}$  is not weakly Cauchy

[2  $\Rightarrow$  1]

Since  $X \hookrightarrow X^{**}$ , then let  $(x_n)_{new} \subseteq X$  bounded, so we can see  $(x_n)_{new} \subseteq X^{**}$  bounded. Then we can see  $X$  as a functional in  $X^{**}$ , so is seen as

$$x: B_1(X^*) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$$
$$x(f) = f(x)$$

Then  $\|x\|_\infty = \|f\| \|x\| = \|x\|$ .

So, let us fix  $S = B_1(X^*)$ , then by Rosenthal we have that  $(x_n)_{new}$  admit a weakly Cauchy subsequence or an equivalent to the usual  $\ell^1$ -basis subsequence.

Since  $X$  and  $c(X) \subseteq X^{**}$  are isometrically isomorphic, if  $\ell^1 \not\hookrightarrow X$  then  $\ell^1 \not\hookrightarrow c(X)$  and so ② in Rosenthal is false. Then every  $(x_n)_{new}$  has a weakly Cauchy subsequence.

# CODING WEAK CAUCHY CONVERGENCE AND EQUIVALENCE TO THE USUAL $\ell^1$ -BASIS IN COMBINATORICS

## DEF 1

Let  $S$  be a set,  $A, B \subseteq S$ . We say that  $(A, B)$  is disjoint if  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ . Let  $A_m, B_m \subseteq S$  we say that a sequence of disjoint pairs  $((A_m, B_m))_{m \in \omega}$  is independent if  $\forall F, G \subseteq \omega$ ,  $F$  and  $G$  finite subset, then

$$\left( \bigcap_{n \in F} A_n \right) \cap \left( \bigcap_{n \in G} B_n \right) = \emptyset$$

## RECALL

In the Rosenthal theorem we fixed

①  $S$  a set

②  $(f_n)_{n \in \omega}$ , where  $f_n: S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

③  $(f_n)_{n \in \omega}$  is bounded,  $\exists b \in \mathbb{R}^+$  s.t.

$$\forall n \in \omega (\|f_n\|_\infty < b)$$

## LEMMA 1

Let  $r, s \in \mathbb{Q}$  and  $r < s$ . Let

$$A_m = A_m^{r,s} = \{x \in S : f_m(x) < r\}$$

$$B_m = B_m^{r,s} = \{x \in S : f_m(x) > s\}$$

If  $((A_m, B_m)_{m \in \omega})$  is independent then

$(f_m)_{m \in \omega}$  is equivalent to the usual  $\ell^1$ -basis.

## PROOF

Since  $(f_m)_{m \in \omega}$  is bounded then  $\forall m \in \omega$

$\forall c_0, \dots, c_{m-1} \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\left\| \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} c_i f_i \right\| \leq \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} |c_i| b = b \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} |c_i|$$

Now we want to find  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^+$ : guess  $\alpha = \frac{s-r}{2}$

Let  $F = \{i \in m \mid c_i \geq 0\}$ ,  $G = \{i \in m \mid c_i < 0\}$

From the independence hypothesis  $\exists x, y \in S$  such that

$$x \in \bigcap_{i \in F} A_i \cap \bigcap_{i \in G} B_i$$

$$y \in \bigcap_{i \in G} A_i \cap \bigcap_{i \in F} B_i$$

Let us define

$$c := \sum_{i \in M} c_i f_i(y) \geq \sum_{i \in F} |c_i| s - \sum_{i \in G} |c_i|r$$

$$d := \sum_{i \in M} c_i f_i(x) \leq \sum_{i \in F} |c_i|r - \sum_{i \in G} |c_i|s$$

$$\frac{(s-r)}{2} \sum_{i \in M} |c_i| \leq c-d \leq$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \leq \sum_{i \in M} |c_i| (f_i(y) - f_i(x)) \leq \\ & \leq \sum_{i \in M} |c_i| \|f_i\|_\infty = \left\| \sum_{i \in M} c_i f_i \right\|_\infty \end{aligned}$$

D

CONVERGENCE ON PAIRS OF SETS

Let  $S$  be a set and  $((A_n, B_n))_{n \in \omega}$  a sequence of disjoint pairs. Let  $X \subseteq S$ .

We say that  $((A_n, B_n))_{n \in \omega}$  converge on  $X$  if  $\forall x \in X$  we have

for all but finitely many  $n \in \omega$ ,  $x \notin A_n$

or

for all but finitely many  $n \in \omega$ ,  $x \notin B_n$

If  $X = S$  we say directly  $((A_m, B_m))_{m \in \omega}$  converge.

### LEMMA 2

If  $\forall r, s \in \mathbb{Q}, r < s, ((A_m^{r,s}, B_m^{r,s}))_{m \in \omega}$  is convergent then  $(f_m)_{m \in \omega}$  is pointwise convergent.

### PROOF

Let us suppose  $\exists x \in S$  such that

$$\liminf f_m(x) < \limsup f_m(x)$$

Then there exists  $r < s \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that

$$\liminf f_m(x) < r < s < \limsup f_m(x)$$

$x$  belongs to infinitely many  $A_m^{r,s}$  and infinitely many  $B_m^{r,s}$ , e contradiction.

□

LEMMA 3 (THIS STATEMENT IS ONLY COMBINATOR.)

Every sequence  $((A_m, B_m))_{m \in \omega}$  of disjoint pairs has a convergent subsequence or an independent one.

PROOF

Let  $P \subseteq [\omega]^\omega$  be the set such that

$$(m_i)_{i \in \omega} \in P \Leftrightarrow \forall k \left[ \left( \bigcap_{\substack{i < k \\ i \text{ even}}} A_{m_i} \cap \bigcap_{\substack{i < k \\ i \text{ odd}}} B_{m_i} \neq \emptyset \right) \right]$$

$P$  is a closed subset, indeed if we write

$$P = \bigcap_{k \in \omega} P_k$$

where  $P_k = \left\{ (m_i)_{i \in \omega} : \bigcap_{\substack{i < k \\ i \text{ even}}} A_{m_i} \cap \bigcap_{\substack{i < k \\ i \text{ odd}}} B_{m_i} \neq \emptyset \right\}$

$P_k$  is a clopen condition, so  $P$  is closed.

Since  $P$  is closed, by GP there exists

$H \in [\omega]^\omega$  such that  $\underbrace{[H]^\omega \subseteq P}_{\text{CASE 1}}$  or

$$\underbrace{[H]^\omega \cap P = \emptyset}_{\text{CASE 2}}$$

CASE 1

$[H]^{\omega} \subseteq P$ , given  $(m_i)_{i \in \omega}$  an increasing enumeration of  $H$  then  $((A_{m_{2i+1}}, B_{m_{2i+1}}))_{m_i \in H}$  is independent. Indeed, let

$F, G \subseteq \omega$  such that  $F \cap G = \emptyset$ , finite sets,  
 WLOG  $F \cup G = \{0, \dots, k-1\}$

$F, G \subseteq N$   $\boxed{F \cap G = \emptyset}$

$$\bigcap_{i \in F} A_{m_{2i+1}} \cap \bigcap_{i \in G} B_{m_{2i+1}} \neq \emptyset$$

Then, there  $\exists l \geq k$  and  $I = \{m_i \mid i \leq l\} \subseteq H$ , with  $m_i < m_{i+1}$  such that

$$\boxed{\phi \neq \bigcap_{\substack{i \leq l \\ i \text{ even}}} A_{m_i} \cap \bigcap_{\substack{i \leq l \\ i \text{ odd}}} B_{m_i} \subseteq \bigcap_{i \in F} A_{m_{2i+1}} \cap \bigcap_{i \in G} B_{m_{2i+1}}}$$

DEF

and so it is an independent sequence  
 $(\Rightarrow (f_n)_{n \in \omega} \text{ admits a subsequence}$

equivalent to the usual  $\ell^2$ -basis)

CASE 2

Let us suppose that  $[H]^\omega_n P = \emptyset$  and that  $((A_m, B_m))_{m \in \omega}$  is not convergent.

Then, by definition,  $\exists x \in X$  such that

$I = \{m_i : x \in A_{m_i}\}$  is infinite

$J = \{m_i : x \in B_{m_i}\}$  is infinite

By hypothesis  $((A_m, B_m))_{m \in \omega}$  is disjoint then  $I \cap J = \emptyset$ , so there exists  $\{n_i | i \in \omega\} \subseteq H$ , with  $n_i < n_{i+1}$ , such that  $\{n_i | i \text{ even}\} \subseteq I$  and  $\{n_i | i \text{ odd}\} \subseteq J$ , so  $H \subseteq \omega$

$$\bigcap_{\substack{i \in \omega \\ i \text{ even}}} A_{n_i} \cap \bigcap_{\substack{i \in \omega \\ i \text{ odd}}} B_{n_i} \neq \emptyset$$

$\Rightarrow \{n_i | i \in \omega\} \in P \Rightarrow [H]^\omega_n P \neq \emptyset$ , contradiction.

## ROSENTHAL THEOREM (1974)

Let  $S$  be a set and  $(f_n)_{n \in \omega}$  be a bounded sequence in  $\ell^\infty(S)$  then there exists  $(f_{n_k})_{k \in \omega}$  such that

①  $(f_{n_k})$  is weakly - Cauchy

②  $(f_{n_k})$  is equivalent to the usual  $\ell^1$ -basis.

NOTICE

If  $((A_m, B_m))_{m \in \omega}$  is convergent  
then for each infinite subset  $A \subseteq X_m$   
follows  $((A_m, B_m))_{m \in A}$  is convergent.

APPLICATION TO PROVE ROSENTHAL THEOREM

Let  $(z_i)_{i \in \omega}$  an enumeration  
of  $\{(r, s) \in \mathbb{Q}^2 \mid r < s\}$ .

STEP 0

$r_{z_0} \subset s_{z_0}$   $\Rightarrow \left( \left( A_m^{r_{z_0}, s_{z_0}}, B_m^{r_{z_0}, s_{z_0}} \right) \right)_{m \in \omega}$  is disjoint. By LEMMA 3 we obtain  $X_0 \subseteq \omega$

infinite subset such that one of the following hold

①  $\left( \left( A_m^{r_{z_0}, s_{z_0}}, B_m^{r_{z_0}, s_{z_0}} \right) \right)_{m \in X_0}$  is implemented, then by LEMMA 1 we have  $(f_m)_{m \in X_0}$  is  $E\ell_A B$ ;

②  $\left( \left( A_m^{r_{z_0}, s_{z_0}}, B_m^{r_{z_0}, s_{z_0}} \right) \right)_{m \in X_0}$  is convergent, then we proceed with STEP  $m+1$ .

STEP  $m+1$

Let us consider  $(f_m)_{m \in X_m}$  and

$\left( \left( A_m^{r_{z_{m+1}}, s_{z_{m+1}}}, B_m^{r_{z_{m+1}}, s_{z_{m+1}}} \right) \right)_{m \in X_m}$

From LEMMA 3 we obtain  $X_{m+1} \subseteq X_m$  infinite subset such that

①  $(f_m)_{m \in X_{n+1}} \in \ell_1 \beta \rightarrow \text{stop}$

②  $((A_m^{r_{z_{m+1}} s_{z_{m+1}}}, B_m^{r_{z_{m+1}} - z_{m+1}}))_{m \in X_{n+1}}$  is convergent

Now, let us suppose that we are always in CASE 2.

We have

$$X_0 \supseteq X_1 \supseteq X_2 \supseteq \dots \supseteq X_n \supseteq \dots$$

Now let us define  $X$  such that

$$x_0 := \min X_0 \in X$$

Let us suppose that  $x_n \in X$  then

$$x_{n+1} := \min \{y \in X_{n+1} \mid y > x_n\} \in X$$

So,  $X \subseteq^* X_n$  for each  $n \in X$ , that is  $\{y \in X \mid y \geq x_n\} \subseteq X_n$

We obtain that for each  $r < s \in \mathbb{Q}$

$\left( (\overset{r,s}{A_m}, \overset{r,s}{B_m}) \right)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$  is convergent

then  $(f_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$  is weakly Cauchy

LEMMA 2