

Infinite combinatorics, Banach spaces, and the first Baiu class

After the first central part of the course

We started on a kind of slogan: reflexive spaces form a very interesting class, we would like to have conditions for a space X to be in that class.

We started with a theorem of James

Thm (James) A Banach space X with a Schauder basis $\{e_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is reflexive iff that base is both shrinking and boundedly complete.

We mentioned that the natural basis on c_0 is not boundedly complete, and the one of l_1 is not shrinking. That is a motivation for studying the spaces containing an isomorphic copy of c_0 and l_1 .

∞ , maybe we can have a complete and simple example of Banach spaces that have an unconditional basis? Maybe they all have to contain a copy of c_0 or a space of the form l_p , for $1 \leq p < \infty$?
No!

Thm (Tsirelson) There is a space with an unconditional Schauder basis that does not contain neither c_0 nor any of the spaces l_p for $1 \leq p < \infty$.

Let me pause for a side note here. Here's a problem when one investigates (closed) subspaces of a Banach space X . Suppose a subspace Y of X has a basis. How do this basis relate to the basis of X , if it can relate at all?

Thm (Pełczyński) Let X be a Banach space w/ a Sch. basis $\{e_i\}$. If Y is an ∞ -dim. closed subspace of X , then Y contains an infinite-dim. closed subspace Z w/ a Schauder basis equivalent to a block sequence of $\{e_i\}$.

These techniques are key to prove Tsirelson's theorem, because we know quite well how a basis of c_0 or l_p ($1 \leq p < \infty$) should behave.

Now, note that by James's result on spaces w/ an unconditional basis, Tsirelson's space is reflexive. So maybe we can recuperate something? Maybe a space is either reflexive or it contains a copy of c_0 or l_1 ? No.

Thm (James) There is a (sep.) Banach space that is not reflexive and does not contain an isomorphic copy of c_0 or l_1 .

But James's space contains a reflexive space (l_2), so... Last try: maybe any Banach space either contains a reflexive space, or a copy of c_0 or l_1 ? No.

Thm (Gowers) There is a Banach space that does not contain any reflexive space, and does not contain a copy of c_0 or l_1 either.

Let's weaken the question. If a space contains an isomorphic copy of c_0 or ℓ_p ($1 \leq p < \infty$), does it contain an almost isometric copy of it? or more precisely, given an equivalent norm $\|\cdot\|_0$ of a space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$, does there exist a subspace Y of X st

$$d((Y, \|\cdot\|_0), (X, \|\cdot\|)) < 1 + \varepsilon?$$

A space that does not satisfy this ppty is called distortable. The problem is the distortion problem.

Thm (James, once again) c_0 and ℓ_1 are not distortable

Thm (Odell-Schlumprecht) A sep. ∞ -dim. Hilbert space is distortable. Moreover, any Banach space that does not contain c_0 or ℓ_1 contains a distortable subspace.

So, let's weaken even this notion. We say that X is distortable if $\exists Y \subset X$ such that $d(Y, X) < 1 + \varepsilon$.

\Rightarrow finitely representable in Y if every finite-dimensional subspace of X has an ε -almost-isometric copy in Y (for all ε).

The notion of spreading model is a stronger version of this.

Thm (Krivine) One of the spaces c_0 or l_p ($p \geq 1$) is finitely representable in any ∞ -dim. Banach space.