

PHD COURSE ON
MODELⁿ COMPANIONSHIP RESULTS
FOR SET THEORY

LECTURE 6

18/5/2022

Lemma Assume T, S are τ -theories ①
 and T is the AMC of S . Then ~~if~~ for any
 $R \supseteq S$ $T + R_{\forall}$ is the AMC of R .

Pl: $T + R_{\forall}$ is model complete (using Robinson's test)

$(T + R)_{\forall} \supseteq R_{\forall} \exists$: take $M \neq R$

by second embeddability Lemma and $S_{\forall \exists} = T_{\forall \exists}$
~~there~~ there exists $M \supseteq M$ s.t. $M \equiv_1 M$ $M \neq T$
 $M \neq T + R_{\forall \exists}$

~~there exists~~

$(T+R_V)_{V \in V} \cong R_{V \in V}$: Take $M \neq T+R_V$ (2)

Then ~~by~~ $(T+R_V)_V \cong R_V$. By first
by embeddability Lemma $\exists M \cong M \quad M \neq R \cong \underline{\underline{S}}$

$M \leq_1 M \Rightarrow M \cong_1 M$. Then conclusion follows
by second by embeddability Lemma.



$\epsilon_{\Delta_0} = \{\epsilon\} \cup \{R_\phi : \phi \text{ a } \Delta_0\text{-formula}\} \cup \{b_\psi : \psi \text{ a } \Delta_0\text{-formula which describes the graph of a Gödel operation}\}$

$\cup \{\phi, \omega\}$

$\Sigma_{\Delta_0}^-$: Ext, bound,

- $\forall \vec{x} (\neg R_\phi(\vec{x}) \leftrightarrow \neg R_{\neg\phi}(\vec{x}))$
- $\forall \vec{x} (R_{\psi \wedge \chi}(\vec{x}) \leftrightarrow R_\psi(\vec{x}) \wedge R_\chi(\vec{x}))$
- $\forall x \in \phi (\neg x = x)$
- $\forall x \in \omega (x \leq \phi \vee \exists y \in \omega x = y + 1)$
- $\forall \vec{x} (\exists y (y \leq z \wedge R_\psi(y, z, \vec{x})) \leftrightarrow R_{\exists y \leq z \psi}(z, \vec{x}))$
- $\forall \vec{x}, y (R_\psi(\vec{x}, y) \leftrightarrow b_\psi(\vec{x}) = y)$

(3)

$$\exists \tau \subseteq \Delta_0$$

$$\# Z_{\tau} = Z_{\Delta_0}^{-} + \text{Repl}_{\tau} \text{ Powerset} + \text{Compr}_{\tau}$$

$$ZC_{\tau} = Z_{\tau} + AC$$

$$ZF_{\tau}^{-} = Z_{\Delta_0}^{-} + \text{Repl}_{\tau}$$

$$ZFC_{\tau}^{-} = ZF_{\tau}^{-} + AC$$

$$ZFC_{\tau} = ZFC_{\tau}^{-} + \text{Powerset}$$

4

Def: Let (M, E) be an ϵ -structure (5) and $N \subseteq M$ is a E -transitive subset of M if $\forall a \in N \quad \text{pred}_E^M(a) \subseteq N$

Facts: If ψ is Δ_0 and $N \subseteq M$ is E -trans. for all $\vec{a} \in N^{<\omega}$ $(N, E \cap N^2) \models \psi(\vec{a})$ iff

$(M, E) \models \psi(\vec{a})$. Hence ~~the~~ the substructure relation is preserved by adding

$\{R_\psi : \psi \text{ a } \Delta_0\text{-formula}\}$

Fact 2 assume $(M, E) \models ZF^-$ and $N \subseteq M$ (6)
 is transitive, then and $(N, E) \models ZF^-$ as well.

Then if M is the unique expansion of (M, E)
 to an ϵ_{Δ_0} -model of $Z_{\Delta_0}^-$ and same for N

$$N \subseteq_{\epsilon_{\Delta_0}} M$$

$\vdash ZF^-$

Fact 3 Assume $\psi(\vec{x}, y)$ is provably $\Delta_1(ZFC^-)$
 and $ZFC^- \neq \forall \vec{x} \exists! y \psi(\vec{x}, y)$. Let $(M, E) \models ZFC^-$
 and $(N, E) \models ZFC^-$ with N trans. subset of M .
 Then as above for unique expansion of M, N to
 models of $AX_{\Delta_1}^Z$

Thm (Strong Levy Absoluteness).

(7)

Assume $(V, \in) \models ZFC$. Let $\lambda \geq \kappa$ be ~~regular~~
cardinals, ^{with λ regular,} Assume $A_1 \dots A_k$ are s.t.

$A_i \subseteq P(\kappa)^{m_i}$. Then

$$(H_\lambda, \in_{\Delta_\lambda}, A_1 \dots A_k) \leq_1 (V, \in_{\Delta_\lambda}, A_1 \dots A_k)$$

Proof: Substructure ~~relation~~ \sqsubseteq holds in view
of Facts 1, 2, 3 and observation that

$P(\kappa)^m \subseteq H_\lambda$ for any m .

Let $\exists x \psi(x, \vec{a})$ be true w.r.t. $\mathcal{V} = (V, \epsilon_{\Delta_1}, A_1, \dots, A_k)$. (8)

~~Let~~ Let $X < V$ s.t.

$|X| < \aleph_1$ and $k \in X$ $\vec{a} \in X$ $\text{trcl}(\vec{a}) \subseteq X$

$(X, \epsilon_{\Delta_1}, A_1 \cap X, \dots, A_m \cap X) \models \exists x \psi(x, \vec{a})$

Let $\pi_X: X \rightarrow N \subseteq H_1$

$(N, \epsilon_{\Delta_1}, \pi_X(A_1), \dots, \pi_X(A_m)) \models \exists x \psi(x, \vec{a})$
 $\pi_X(k) = k$

$$(N, e_{\Delta_2}, \pi_X(A_2) \dots \pi_X(A_k)) \sqsubseteq (H_X, e_{\Delta_2}, A_2 \dots A_k)$$

Need to check that $A_2 \cap N = \pi_X(A_2)$ (9)

observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_X(A_2) &= \pi_X[A_2] = \pi_X[A_2 \cap X] = A_2 \cap X = \\ &= A_2 \cap N = \{b \in A_2 : b \subseteq k, b \in N\} = \{b \in A_2 : b \subseteq k, b \in X\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\parallel A_2 \cap X$$

Q

~~$A_2 \cap N = \pi_X(A_2)$~~

Why choose Lemma Fails if one does not pick \aleph_1 (10)
 elements have bounded rank below \aleph_1
 many predicate symbol for countable ordinals

$$\left(H_{\omega_2}, \epsilon_{\Delta_1}, \omega_2 \right) \sqsubseteq \left(V, \epsilon_{\Delta_1}, \omega_1 \right)$$

$$\forall x (\text{Ord}(x) \rightarrow \omega_1(x)) \not\models \exists x \text{Ord}(x) \wedge \neg \omega_1(x)$$

take $X \prec (V, \epsilon_{\Delta_2}, \omega_2)$ X countable;

Collapse X to N . Then

$$\pi_X(\omega_2) = \omega_1 < \aleph_1 \omega_2, \quad \square$$

hence $(N, \epsilon_{\Delta_1}, \pi_X(\omega_2)) \not\models (H_{\omega_2}, \epsilon_{\Delta_1}, \omega_2)$

Lemma Let $\tau \geq \epsilon_{\Delta_0}$ and $T \supseteq ZFC^-$.

Assume $M \neq T$ and $M \prec_{\tau} M$. Then

- (1) $M \models Z_{\Delta_0}^-$
- (2) $M \models AC$
- (3) $M \models Rep(\phi)$ for all existential τ -formulae ϕ .

Pf (1) by Since $Z_{\Delta_0}^-$ is axiomatized by Π_2 -sent.

(2) Since AC is Π_2 in E_{Δ_0}
 $\forall X \exists f (f: X \rightarrow \alpha \wedge f \text{ is injection } \wedge \alpha \in Ord)$
 $\underbrace{\hspace{15em}}_{\Delta_0}$

③ Let $\phi(x, y, z_1, \dots, z_m)$ be Σ_1 -formula for τ .

Assume $M \models \forall x (x \in C \rightarrow \exists! y \phi(x, y, b_1, \dots, b_m))$

for $b_1, \dots, b_m = \vec{b} \in M^m$, and $C \in M$.

Need the following Lemma:

Lemma Assume $M \prec_1 N$ are τ -structures.

Let $\phi(\vec{a}, y)$ be a Σ_1 -formula for τ .

Let M^*, N^* be the unique expansions of M, N to $\tau \cup \{b_\phi\} = \tau_1$ satisfying $Ax \phi$. Then $M^* \prec_1 N^*$

(Note that a priori it is not even clear that $M^* \sqsubseteq_{\tau_1} N^*$!)

Now note that for $\psi(\vec{x}, z)$ a Δ_0 -formula $\textcircled{13}$ -c
and $t_1(\vec{x}, z), \dots, t_n(\vec{x})$ $\tau_1 = \tau_0\{\beta\}$ -terms

$\psi(t_1(\vec{x}), \dots, t_n(\vec{x}))$ is quantifier free.

Hence for $\psi(t, u, v)$ the Δ_0 -formula

$(\text{dom}(t) = u \wedge t \text{ is a function} \wedge \forall x \in u \langle x, v \rangle \in t)$

we have that

$(\text{dom}(t) = u \wedge t \text{ is a function} \wedge \forall x \in u \langle x, \beta_0(x, \vec{z}) \rangle \in t)$

is quantifier free for τ_1 .

Note also that

(13)-b

$\mathcal{M}^* \models \text{ZFC}_{\tau_1}^-$ where \mathcal{M}^* is the unique expansion to τ_1 of \mathcal{M} which models Ax_{ϕ}^{\perp} .

Hence

$\mathcal{M}^* \models \exists G \left(\text{dom}(G) = C \wedge G \text{ is a function} \wedge \forall x \in C \langle x, h_{\phi}(x, \vec{b}) \rangle \in G \right)$
quantifier free for τ_1

Since $\mathcal{M}^* \prec_{\tau_1} \mathcal{M}^*$, we get that for some

~~\mathcal{M}~~ $F \in \mathcal{M}$ $\mathcal{M}^* \models \text{dom}(F) = C \wedge F \text{ is a function} \wedge \forall x \in C \langle x, h_{\phi}(x, \vec{b}) \rangle \in F$

Then $\mathcal{M}^* \models \forall x \in \mathcal{D} \exists y \phi(x, y, \vec{b})$

(14)

hence $\mathcal{M}^* \models \forall x \in \mathcal{C} \phi(x, f_\phi(x, \vec{b}), \vec{b})$

and $\mathcal{M}^* \models \forall x \in \mathcal{C} f_\phi(x, \vec{b}) = F(x)$

We conclude that

$\mathcal{M}^* \models \forall x \in \mathcal{C} \phi(x, F(x), \vec{b})$

which being a τ -formula holds in

\mathcal{M} as well.

The proof is completed



Corollary 1 Assume T, S are τ -theories
 with $\tau \geq \epsilon_{\Delta_0}$. $S \supseteq \text{ZFC}_{\tau}^{(+)}$, T is the MC
 of S . Then $T \models \text{ZFC}_{\tau}^{-}$.

Pf: Since T is model complete
 $\text{Rep}_{\tau} \#$ is equivalent to $\{\text{Rep}(\phi) : \phi \text{ } \Sigma_1\text{-formula for } \tau\}$

Corollary 2: Assume T, S as above and $\tau \geq \epsilon_{\Delta_0} \vee \aleph_K$

and $S \supseteq \text{ZFC}_{\tau}^{(+)} + K$ is a cardinal, and
 $\forall \mathcal{M} \quad \mathcal{M} \models S \Rightarrow \left(H_{\aleph_K^+}^{\mathcal{M}}, \tau^{\mathcal{M}} \right) \prec_2 \mathcal{M} \models S$

Then $T \models \text{ZFC}_{\tau}^{-} + \forall X \exists F (F: K \rightarrow X \text{ is a surjection})$

Pf Let $\mathcal{M} \neq \tau$, Then $\exists \mathcal{M} \supseteq \mathcal{M}$ ($\mathcal{M} \neq S$) (16)
 $\mathcal{M} \leq_1 \mathcal{M} \Rightarrow \mathcal{M} \equiv_1 \mathcal{M} \equiv_1 H_{K+}^{\mathcal{M}} \neq \forall X \exists F (F: K \rightarrow X \text{ is a surj.})$
 $\psi \quad \Pi_2 \text{ in } \epsilon_{\Delta_0} \circ \{K\} \subseteq \tau$

$\Rightarrow \mathcal{M} \neq \forall X \exists F (F: K \rightarrow X \text{ is surj.})$

because the latter belongs to $SCH(S) \in KH(S)$

~~$R = Th(\mathcal{M})$~~ $R_{\forall \exists} + \psi$ is cons.

and $R_{\forall \exists} = (R_{\forall \exists} + \psi)_{\forall \exists} \Rightarrow \exists \mathcal{M} \supseteq \mathcal{M}$ $\mathcal{M} \neq R_{\forall \exists} + \psi$
 $\mathcal{M} \neq \psi \Leftarrow \mathcal{M} \leq_1 \mathcal{M}$ $\begin{matrix} \cup \\ \cap \\ \cup \\ \cap \end{matrix}$