

Lemma (Bessel's Inequality)

$(X, (\cdot, \cdot))$ inner product space, $\{x_m\} \subset X$ o.u.s. Then, $\forall x \in X$ the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |(x, e_n)|^2$ converges and

$$(BI) \quad \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} |(x, e_m)|^2 \leq \|x\|^2 \quad \text{Bessel's Inequality.}$$

$= \|(x, e_m)\|_{e^2}^2$

Proof. $x \in X$, $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}_+$ $y_k = \sum_{m=1}^k (x, e_m) e_m$

$$\begin{aligned} \|x - y_k\|^2 &= (x - y_k, x - y_k) = \|x\|^2 + \|y_k\|^2 - (y_k, x) + \\ &\quad - (x, y_k) = \|x\|^2 + \left\| \sum_{m=1}^k (x, e_m) e_m \right\|^2 + \\ &\quad - \sum_{m=1}^k (x, e_m) (e_m, x) - \sum_{m=1}^k (x, e_m) (x, e_m) \end{aligned}$$

$$\sum_{m=1}^k (x, e_m) e_m \in \text{Span}\{e_1, \dots, e_k\}$$

By Pythagoras' Theorem $\left\| \sum_{m=1}^k (x, e_m) e_m \right\|^2 = \sum_{m=1}^k |(x, e_m)|^2$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} \|x - y_k\|^2 &= \|x\|^2 + \sum_{m=1}^k |(x, e_m)|^2 - \sum_{m=1}^k |(x, e_m)|^2 - \sum_{m=1}^k |(x, e_m)|^2 \\ \sum_{m=1}^k |(x, e_m)|^2 &= \|x\|^2 - \underbrace{\|x - y_k\|^2}_{\geq 0} \leq \|x\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

The inequality above holds true $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}_+$.

$$S_k := \sum_{m=1}^k |(x, e_m)|^2 \quad S_k \leq S_{k+1}, \quad \forall k$$

$S_k \geq 0$ so we have $(S_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}_+}$ an increasing sequence that is bounded from above

by $\|x\|^2 \Rightarrow (S_k)_k$ is convergent to

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}_+} S_k \Rightarrow \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} |(x, e_m)|^2 = \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}_+} S_k \leq \|x\|^2$$

hence we have proved (BI).

hence we have proved (BI).

Theorem. H Hilbert space and $\{e_m\} \subset H$ o.n.s.

Consider $(\alpha_m)_n \in \mathbb{F}$ sequence of scalars. Then the series $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \alpha_m e_m$ converges $\Leftrightarrow (\alpha_m)_n \in l^2$ ($\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_m|^2 < \infty$).

Proof. " \Rightarrow " Assume that $\sum_n \alpha_n e_m$ converges to $x \in H$, that is $x = \sum_n \alpha_n e_m$. For any e_m ,
 $(x, e_m) = (\sum_n \alpha_n e_m, e_m) \stackrel{\text{cont. } (\cdot, \cdot)}{=} \sum_n \alpha_n (\underbrace{e_m, e_m}_{=\delta_{mm}}) = \alpha_m$

By Bessel's Inequality:

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_m|^2 = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} |(x, e_m)|^2 \stackrel{(BI)}{\leq} \|x\|^2$$

$$\Rightarrow (\alpha_m)_n \in l^2.$$

" \Leftarrow " Assume $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_m|^2 < \infty$, let us show that $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \alpha_m e_m = x \in H$.

$S_k = \sum_{m=1}^k \alpha_m e_m$. We will show that $\{S_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence: for $n < k$ Pythagoras' Theorem

$$\|S_k - S_n\|^2 = \left\| \underbrace{\sum_{m=n+1}^k \alpha_m e_m}_{\in \text{Span}\{e_{n+1}, \dots, e_k\}} \right\|^2 = \sum_{m=n+1}^k |\alpha_m|^2 \quad (S)$$

$\tilde{S}_k = \sum_{m=1}^k |\alpha_m|^2$, by assumption, the seq. of partial sums $(\tilde{S}_k)_k$ is convergent to $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_m|^2$

 $\Rightarrow (\tilde{S}_k)$ is a Cauchy sequence and

by (S) we have

$$\|S_k - S_n\|^2 = \tilde{S}_k - \tilde{S}_n$$

$$\text{So } \forall \varepsilon > 0 \quad \exists N_0 \in \mathbb{N} \mid \forall k > h \geq N_0$$

$$|\tilde{s}_k - \tilde{s}_h| < \varepsilon^2 \Rightarrow \|s_k - s_h\| < \varepsilon$$

Hence the sequence $\{\tilde{s}_k\}$ is a Cauchy seq.
since H is complete, $\tilde{s}_k \rightarrow x \in H$. \blacksquare

Corollary. H Hilbert space $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset H$ o.n.s. Then

$\sum_m (x, e_m) e_m$ converges, $\forall x \in H$.

Proof. By Bessel's Ineq. $\sum_m |(x, e_m)|^2 < \infty$ that is,
 $((x, e_m))_{m=1}^{\infty} \in \ell^2$ so by the previous theorem, the
series $\sum_m (x, e_m) e_m$ is convergent.

Does the series $\sum_n (x, e_n) e_n$ converge to x ?

The answer is NO, in general.

Example In $H = \mathbb{R}^3$ with the standard o.n.b.
 $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$. $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^3$ $x = (x, e_1)e_1 + (x, e_2)e_2 + (x, e_3)e_3$

Now consider the o.n.s $\{e_1, e_2\}$. For any $v \in \mathbb{R}^3$ /
 $(v, e_3) \neq 0$, then $(v, e_1)e_1 + (v, e_2)e_2 \neq v$

Definition. H Hilbert space. A sequence $\{x_n\} \subset H$
is said to be **complete** if $\overline{\text{Sp}\{x_n\}} = H$.

Definition. H Hilbert space, $\{e_n\}$ o.n.s. of H .

Then we say that $\{e_n\}$ is an **orthonormal basis**
(O.N.B.) if $\{e_n\}$ is complete ($\Rightarrow \overline{\text{Sp}\{e_n\}} = H$).

Theorem (Characterization for O.N.B.)

H Hilbert space and $\{e_n\} \subset H$ o.n.s. in H .

Then the following conditions are equivalent :

$$1) \{e_m\}^\perp = \{0\}$$

$$\overline{\text{Span}\{e_m\}} = H$$

$$\|x\|^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |(x, e_n)|^2, \quad \forall x \in H$$

$$x = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (x, e_m) e_m, \quad \forall x \in H.$$

Proof. 1) \Rightarrow 4)

$$y = x - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (x, e_n) e_n$$

Parseval's Theorem

$$\forall m \in \mathbb{N}_+, \quad (y, e_m) = (x, e_m) - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (x, e_n) (\underbrace{e_m, e_m}_{= \delta_{mm}})$$

$$= (x, e_m) - (x, e_m) = 0 = \delta_{mm}$$

$$\Rightarrow y \in \{e_m\}^\perp = \{0\} \Rightarrow y = 0 \quad \text{and}$$

$$x = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (x, e_m) e_m$$

4) \Rightarrow 2) We Know $\overline{\text{Span}\{e_m\}} \subseteq H \Rightarrow \overline{\text{Span}\{e_m\}} \subseteq H$

We have to prove : $H \subseteq \overline{\text{Span}\{e_m\}}$

$$\forall x \in H, \quad x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (x, e_n) e_n = \lim_{K \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{m=1}^K (x, e_m) e_m$$

$\in \text{Span}\{e_1, \dots, e_K\} \subseteq \overline{\text{Span}\{e_m\}}$

Since $\sum_{m=1}^K (x, e_m) e_m \in \overline{\text{Span}\{e_m\}}, \quad \forall K \in \mathbb{N}_+$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{K \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{m=1}^K (x, e_m) e_m \in \overline{\text{Span}\{e_m\}}$$

Hence $\overline{\text{Span}\{e_m\}} = H$ (\Rightarrow $\{e_m\}$ is complete).

$$4) \Rightarrow 3) \quad \|x\|^2 = \left\| \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (x, e_m) e_m \right\|^2$$

$$= \left\| \lim_{K \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{m=1}^K (x, e_m) e_m \right\|^2$$

$$\text{cont. } \| \cdot \| = \lim_{K \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \sum_{m=1}^K (x, e_m) e_m \right\|^2$$

$\in \text{Span}\{e_1, \dots, e_K\}$

Pythagoras' Theorem

$$= \lim_{K \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{m=1}^K |(x, e_m)|^2$$

$$= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} |(x, e_m)|^2$$

hence $\|x\|^2 = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} |(x, e_m)|^2$.

2) \Rightarrow 1) Recall: $A^\perp = \overline{A^\perp}$ (i), $A^\perp = \text{Sp } A^\perp$ (ii)
 $\{e_m\}^\perp \stackrel{(ii)}{=} \text{Sp}\{e_m\}^\perp \stackrel{(i)}{=} \overline{\text{Sp}\{e_m\}}^\perp = H^\perp = \{0\}$.

3) \Rightarrow 1) $y \in \{e_m\}^\perp \Rightarrow (y, e_m) = 0, \forall m$

by Parseval's Theorem $\|y\|^2 = \sum_m |(\underbrace{y, e_m})|^2 = 0$
 $= 0$

$\Rightarrow y = 0 \quad \{e_m\}^\perp = \{0\}$. \blacksquare

Remark. If Hilbert space, $\{e_m\}$ o.n.s. in H .

Then $\{e_m\}$ is an o.n. b. (\Rightarrow one of the four conditions above is satisfied).

Example. $H = \ell^2 \quad \{\delta_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}_+} \quad \delta_m = (0, 0, \dots, 0, \underset{m^{\text{th entry}}}{1}, 0, \dots, 0 \dots)$

$\{\delta_m\}$ is an o.n.s.

$$\forall x \in \ell^2 \quad x = (x_m) \Rightarrow \|x\|^2 = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} |x_m|^2 < \infty$$

$$(x, \delta_m) = x_m \Rightarrow \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} |(x, \delta_m)|^2 = \|x\|^2$$

By Parseval's Theorem $\{\delta_m\}$ is an o.n.b.

Do all Hilbert space have o.n.b.?

The answer is NO ... there are Hilbert spaces that are "too large" to be spanned by a o.n.s.

Theorem. Finite-dimensional vector spaces are separable.

Proof (hint). Use the "standard trick":

Consider a basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_m\}$, then if the space is real consider the set $S = \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_j e_j, \alpha_j \in \mathbb{Q} \right\}$, S is countable and dense in the space.
 If the space is complex, consider $S = \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_j e_j, \text{ with } \alpha_j \text{ "complex rational"}, \text{ that is } \alpha_j = q_j + i r_j, q_j, r_j \in \mathbb{Q} \right\}$
 S is countable and dense.

Theorem. An infinite-dimensional Hilbert space H is separable $\Leftrightarrow H$ has an o.n.b. $\{e_m\} \subset H$.

Proof (hint). " \Rightarrow " Assume H separable $\Rightarrow \{x_m\} \subset H$ which is dense in H . Let us construct a linearly independent sequence $\{y_m\}$ from $\{x_m\}$ by eliminating the " x_m " which is linear combination of the previous terms. For example:

$$\{x_m\} = \{x_1, x_2, \alpha x_1 + \beta x_2, x_3, \dots\} \text{ then}$$

$$\{y_m\} = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots\}$$

So $\{y_m\}$ is a lim. indep. seq. Then applying inductively the Gram-Schmidt algorithm to $\{y_m\}$ we obtain an o.n.s. $\{e_m\}$. By construction,

$$Sp\{x_m\} = Sp\{y_m\} \stackrel{\text{G-S Algorithm}}{=} Sp\{e_m\}$$

$$\text{hence } H = \overline{Sp\{x_m\}} = \overline{Sp\{e_m\}}$$

$$\Leftarrow S_K = \left\{ \sum_{m=1}^K d_m e_m, \text{ with } d_m \text{ rational or complex rational} \right\}$$

then S_K is countable and

$\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}_+} S_k$ is countable (countably union of countable sets) and dense in H . ■

Example. ℓ^2 is separable because it has the o.n.b. $\{\delta_m\}$

Exercise 5, MMWZ.

Exercise. H Hilbert space and $\{e_n\} \subset H$ o.n.b.

Then $\forall x \in H$ the series $\sum_n (x, e_{\sigma(n)}) e_{\sigma(n)}$ converges to x , with σ any permutation ($\sigma : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ bijection).

Solution. By Parseval's Theorem, $\forall x \in H$

$$\|x\|^2 = \sum_m |(x, e_m)|^2 = \sum_m |(x, e_{\sigma(m)})|^2 \quad \forall \sigma \text{ perm.}$$

\uparrow \uparrow
absolutely conv. series \Rightarrow unconditionally conv.

Exercise. Parseval's relation. H Hilbert space,

$\{e_n\} \subset H$ o.n.b. Then, $\forall x, y \in H$

$$(x, y) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (x, e_m) (e_m, y) \quad \text{"Parseval's rel."}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (x, y) &= \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (x, e_m) e_m, \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (y, e_m) e_m \right) \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (x, e_m) \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \overline{(y, e_m)} (\underbrace{e_m, e_m}_{= \delta_{mm}}) \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (x, e_m) \underbrace{\overline{(y, e_m)}}_{= (e_m, y)}. \end{aligned}$$