

- Finish the HWIZ

Definition. X, Y normed spaces and $T: X \rightarrow Y$ isometry.

Assume that T is onto then T is called isometric isomorphism and X and Y are called isometrically isomorphic.

Theorem (Riesz-Fischer)

H Hilbert space infinite dimensional and separable.

Consider $\{e_m\} \subset H$ an o.n.b. for H . Then the operator $T: H \rightarrow \ell^2$ defined by

$$Tx = ((x, e_m))_m, \quad \forall x \in H \quad (\text{RF})$$

is an isometric isomorphism.

Proof. Since $x \in H$, by Parseval's Theorem

$$\|x\|^2 = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} |(x, e_m)|^2 < +\infty$$

$$\text{and } \|x\| = \left\| ((x, e_m))_m \right\|_{\ell^2}$$

So $T: H \rightarrow \ell^2$ well defined and T is an isometry.

T is linear: $\forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}, \forall x_1, x_2 \in H$,

$$\begin{aligned} T(\alpha x_1 + \beta x_2) &= ((\alpha x_1 + \beta x_2, e_m))_m = (\alpha(x_1, e_m) + \beta(x_2, e_m))_m \\ &= \alpha((x_1, e_m))_m + \beta((x_2, e_m))_m \\ &= \alpha T x_1 + \beta T x_2 \end{aligned}$$

T is onto: $\forall (\beta_m)_m \in \ell^2$ the series

$\sum_n \beta_n e_m$ is convergent to $y \in H$;

then $Ty = (\beta_m)_m$. In fact,

$$Ty = \left(\underbrace{\left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \beta_m e_m, e_m \right)}_{=y} \right)_m$$

$$\left(\underbrace{\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \beta_m e_m, e_m}_{\text{"}(y, e_m)} \right) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \beta_m (\underbrace{e_m, e_m}_{\delta_{mm}}) = \beta_m$$

$\forall m \in \mathbb{N}_+$, hence $\beta_m = (y, e_m), \forall m$.
Hence T is a bijection and so an isometric isomorphism. \blacksquare

$$\forall m \in \mathbb{N}_+, T e_m = ((e_m, e_m))_{m \in \mathbb{N}_+} = (\delta_{mm})_m$$

$\{\delta_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}_+}$ is an o.n.b. for ℓ^2

Hence T maps the o.n.b. $\{e_m\}$ of H onto the o.n.b. $\{\delta_m\} \subset \ell^2$.

Corollary. Any infinite-dimensional and separable Hilbert space is isometrically isomorphic to ℓ^2 .

Property. X, Y inner product spaces, $T: X \rightarrow Y$ isometry.

Then $(Tx, Ty)_Y = (x, y)_X, \forall x, y \in X$.

Proof. If $X = \mathbb{R}$ then the polarization identity

$$(x, y) = \frac{1}{4} \{ \|x+y\|^2 - \|x-y\|^2 \}$$

$$\text{if } X = \mathbb{C}, (x, y) = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=0}^3 i^k \|x + i^k y\|^2$$

$$\text{So, if } X = \mathbb{R} \quad (Tx, Ty)_Y \stackrel{\text{P.i.}}{=} \frac{1}{4} \{ \|Tx+Ty\|_Y^2 - \|Tx-Ty\|_Y^2 \}$$

$$\stackrel{\lim}{=} \frac{1}{4} \{ \|T(x+y)\|_Y^2 - \|T(x-y)\|_Y^2 \}$$

$$\begin{aligned} T \text{ isometry} &\Leftrightarrow \|x+y\|_X^2 - \|x-y\|_X^2 = 4 \\ p.i. &\Leftrightarrow (x, y)_X \end{aligned}$$

Similarly for $X = \mathbb{C}$.

Theorem. X normed space, Y Banach space then $B(X, Y)$ is a Banach space.

Proof. Consider any Cauchy seq. $\{T_m\} \subset B(X, Y)$, we want to prove that $\{T_m\}$ is convergent in $B(X, Y)$. $\forall x \in X$,

$$\|T_m x - T_n x\|_Y = \|(T_m - T_n)x\|_Y \leq \|T_m - T_n\| \|x\|_X$$

$$\forall \epsilon > 0 \ \exists N_0 \in \mathbb{N} : \forall m, n \geq N_0 \ \|T_m - T_n\| < \epsilon$$

$\{T_m x\} \subset Y$ is a Cauchy seq. in Y , since Y is complete $\{T_m x\}$ is convergent and we define $Tx := \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} T_m x \in Y$

By construction, $T : X \rightarrow Y$

- T is linear, $\forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}, \forall x_1, x_2 \in X$

$$T(\alpha x_1 + \beta x_2) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_n(\alpha x_1 + \beta x_2)$$

$$= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [\alpha T_n x_1 + \beta T_n x_2]$$

$$= \alpha \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_n x_1 + \beta \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_n x_2$$

$$= \alpha Tx_1 + \beta Tx_2$$

$T_m \rightarrow T$ in $B(X, Y)$; we know:

$$\|T_m x - T_n x\|_Y \leq \|T_m - T_n\|_{B(X, Y)} \|x\|_X, \forall x \in X$$

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \quad \exists N_0 \quad | \quad \forall n, m \geq N_0 \quad \|T_m - T_n\|_{B(X, Y)} < \varepsilon$$

hence

$$\|T_n x - T_m x\|_Y < \varepsilon \|x\|_X, \quad \forall x$$

take the limit for $m \rightarrow +\infty$

$$\|T_n x - \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} T_m x\|_Y \leq \varepsilon \|x\|_X, \quad \forall x$$

$$\|T_n x - Tx\|_Y \leq \varepsilon \|x\|_X, \quad \forall x$$

$$\|(T_n - T)x\|_Y \leq \varepsilon \|x\|_X, \quad \forall x, \quad \forall n \geq N_0$$

$$\|T_n - T\|_{B(X, Y)} \leq \varepsilon, \quad \forall n \geq N_0$$

$T_n \rightarrow T$ in $B(X, Y)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \|T\|_{B(X, Y)} &= \|(T - T_n) + T_n\|_{B(X, Y)} \\ &\leq \|T - T_n\|_{B(X, Y)} + \|T_n\|_{B(X, Y)} \end{aligned}$$

fix an $n \geq N_0$, hence $\|T\|_{B(X, Y)} < \infty$, that is T is bounded. ■

Definition. X normed space. The dual space of X is $X' := B(X, \mathbb{F})$.

Any linear operator $T: X \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ is called functional.

Another notation for X' is X^* .

Corollary. If X is a normed space then X' is a Banach space.

Proof. \mathbb{F} is complete so the result follows from the previous theorem.

Lemma. $T \in B(X, Y)$, $S \in B(Y, Z)$, with X, Y, Z normed spaces. Then $S \circ T \in B(X, Z)$ and

$$\|S \circ T\|_{B(X, Z)} \leq \|S\|_{B(Y, Z)} \|T\|_{B(X, Y)}.$$

Proof. $S \circ T (\alpha x_1 + \beta x_2) = S [\underset{T \text{ lim}}{\underset{S \text{ lim}}{\underset{S \text{ bound.}}{\alpha T x_1 + \beta T x_2}}}]$

$$\begin{aligned} \forall x \in X \quad & \| (S \circ T)x \|_Z = \| S[Tx] \|_Z \leq \|S\| \|Tx\|_Y \\ & \leq \|S\| \|T\| \|x\|_X \end{aligned}$$

Hence $S \circ T \in B(X, Z)$ and $\|S \circ T\|_{B(X, Z)} \leq \|S\| \|T\|$

Notation. $ST := S \circ T$, called the **product** of the operators S and T .

Lemma. X normed space, $B(X)$ is an algebra with identity (with respect to the product of operators).

Proof. $\forall S, T \in B(X) \quad ST \in B(X)$ by the previous lemma so, since $B(X)$ is a vector space we showed that $B(X)$ is an algebra.

Consider the identity mapping $Ix = x, \forall x \in X$
 $I \in B(X)$ and $IT = TI = T, \forall T \in B(X)$
so I is an identity in $B(X)$.

Notation. $T \in B(X), T^2 := TT, T^3 := TTT, \dots,$
 $T^m := \underbrace{TT \dots T}_{m \text{ times}} \in B(X), \forall m \in \mathbb{N}_+$

If $a_j \in \mathbb{F}$, $0 \leq j \leq m$, $p: \mathbb{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ a polynomial
with coefficients a_j : $p(x) = a_0 + a_1 x + \dots + a_m x^m$
we define by $p(T) = a_0 I + a_1 T + \dots + a_m T^m \in B(X)$
for any $T \in B(X)$

$\in B(X)$ (lim. comb.
of bounded op.)

Lemma. $T \in B(X)$, p, q polynomials, $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{F}$, then

$$1) (\lambda p + \mu q)(T) = \lambda p(T) + \mu q(T)$$

sum of polynom. sum of operators

$$2) (pq)(T) = p(T)q(T)$$

product of polynom. product of operators

INVERSE OPERATORS

From linear algebra, A $n \times n$ matrix, study

$$Ax = y \quad (*)$$

- Step 1 : check whether A is invertible
 $\Leftrightarrow \det A \neq 0$
- Step 2 : if $\det A \neq 0$ you can solve $(*)$

$$x = A^{-1}y.$$

Definition. X, Y normed spaces, $T \in B(X, Y)$ is said to be invertible if there exists a $S \in B(Y, X)$ such that $ST = I_X$, $TS = I_Y$. S is called the inverse of T and denoted by T^{-1} .

Equivalently, T is invertible if T is a bijection and T^{-1} is bounded.

Example. $I \in B(X)$ is invertible $I^{-1} = I$.

Remark: $(T^{-1})^{-1} = T$ by the definition
 with $S = T^{-1}$ and changing roles between
 T and T^{-1} .

Lemma. If $T_1 \in B(X, Y)$, $T_2 \in B(Y, Z)$ are invertible then $T_2 T_1 \in B(X, Z)$ is invertible and the inverse $(T_2 T_1)^{-1} = T_1^{-1} T_2^{-1}$.

Proof. Check as exercise, using the definition.

If $T \in B(X)$ is invertible then by the previous lemma also T^m is invertible, $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}_+$, and $(T^m)^{-1} = \underbrace{T^{-1} \cdot T^{-1} \cdots T^{-1}}_{m\text{-times}} = (T^{-1})^m$

Notation $T^{-m} := (T^m)^{-1}$

Definition. If X, Y normed spaces such that $\exists T \in B(X, Y)$ invertible then X and Y are called **isomorphic** whereas T is called **isomorphism** between X and Y .

Theorem. If X, Y are isomorphic, then

- 2) $\dim X < \infty \Leftrightarrow \dim Y < \infty$ in which case $\dim X = \dim Y$
- 2) X separable $\Leftrightarrow Y$ separable
- 3) X complete $\Leftrightarrow Y$ complete.