

X Banach space. Types of convergence in X'

1) norm-convergence :

$$f_m \rightarrow f \in X' \Leftrightarrow \|f_m - f\|_{X'} \rightarrow 0, m \rightarrow \infty$$

2) weak convergence :

$$f_m \rightarrow f \text{ in } X' \Leftrightarrow \forall F \in X'', F(f_m) \rightarrow F(f), m \rightarrow \infty$$

3) weak-* convergence :

$$f_m \xrightarrow{*} f \text{ in } X' \Leftrightarrow \forall x \in X \quad f_m(x) \rightarrow f(x), m \rightarrow \infty$$

$$\text{Equivalently, } \forall x \in X \quad \delta_x f_m \rightarrow \delta_x f, m \rightarrow \infty$$

Hence it follows from the definition that if

$$f_m \rightarrow f \text{ in } X' \Rightarrow f_m \xrightarrow{*} f \text{ in } X'$$

in fact, one can choose $F \in X''$ to be $F = \delta_x$

Remark. If X is reflexive $\Rightarrow J(X) = X''$

then the weak and the weak-* convergence coincide in X' .

Example If Hilbert space, then $H = H' = H''$

H is reflexive and so weak and weak-* convergence coincide.

Lemma. X Banach space. Then

1) Weak-* limits in X' are unique

2) If $f_m \xrightarrow{*} f \in X'$ then $\{f_m\} \subset X'$ is bounded.

One of the main reasons to introduce weak and weak-* convergence is the following result.

Theorem "Banach-Alaoglu Theorem"

X separable Banach space. $\{f_n\} \subset X'$ a bounded sequence in X' . Then $\{f_n\}$ admits a subsequence $\{f_{n_k}\}$ that is weak-* convergent to $f \in X'$.
($\Leftrightarrow f_{n_k} \xrightarrow{*} f, k \rightarrow \infty$)

Corollary. X separable Banach space. We define

$$\overline{B_0(1)} = \{ f \in X' : \|f\|_{X'} \leq 1 \} \subset X'.$$

Then any sequence $\{f_n\} \subset \overline{B_0(1)}$ has a subseq.
 $\{f_{n_k}\} \mid f_{n_k} \xrightarrow{*} f \in \overline{B_0(1)}$.

We say that $\overline{B_0(1)} \subset X'$ is weak-* compact.

PROJECTIONS AND COMPLEMENTARY SUBSPACES

Recall the Orthogonal Decomposition Theorem. If Hilbert space $Y \subset H$ closed subspace then $\forall x \in H \exists! (y, z)$, $y \in Y$, $z \in Y^\perp$ and $x = y + z$. $H = Y \oplus Y^\perp$

Definition. X vector space, U, V subspaces of X .

U and V are said complementary subspaces if any $x \in X$ has a unique decomposition

$$(D) \quad x = u_x + v_x, \quad u_x \in U, \quad v_x \in V$$

If moreover X is a normed space and the mappings $x \mapsto u_x$ and $x \mapsto v_x$ are continuous then U and V are said topologically complementary subspaces.

Definition. X vector space. A projection P on X is a linear mapping $P : X \rightarrow X$ such that $P^2 = P$. (Recall $P^2 = P \circ P$).

Lemma. X vector space, $P : X \rightarrow X$ projection on X . Then :

$$1) x \in \text{Im } P \Leftrightarrow Px = x$$

2) The operator $I - P$ is a projection and

$$(F) \quad \text{Ker } P = \text{Im}(I - P), \quad \text{Im } P = \text{Ker}(I - P)$$

Proof. 1) $\Rightarrow x \in \text{Im } P$ then $\exists y \in X : Py = x$
 $Px = P(Py) = P^2y \stackrel{P^2=P}{=} Py = x$
 $\Leftarrow Px = x \Rightarrow$ trivially $x \in \text{Im } P$.

2) I is a linear operator, P is linear \Rightarrow

$$I - P \text{ is a linear operator and } I - P : X \rightarrow X$$

$$(I - P)^2 = I - 2P + P^2 \stackrel{P^2=P}{=} I - P$$

$\Rightarrow I - P$ is a projection

Let us show $\text{Ker } P = \text{Im}(I - P)$

$y \in \text{Im}(I - P)$ then $\exists x \in X : (I - P)x = y$

$$Py = P(I - P)x = Px - P^2x \stackrel{P^2=P}{=} Px - Px = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow y \in \text{Ker } P \quad \text{hence} \quad \text{Im}(I - P) \subseteq \text{Ker } P$$

Now we show $\text{Ker } P \subseteq \text{Im}(I - P)$

$$y \in \text{Ker } P \Rightarrow Py = 0, \quad y = \underbrace{Iy}_{=y} - \underbrace{Py}_{=0} = (I - P)y$$

$$\Rightarrow y \in \text{Im}(I - P).$$

So $\text{Ker } P = \text{Im}(I - P)$. The relation

$\text{Im } P = \text{Ker}(I - P)$ can be proved similarly.

"Connection between projections and complementary subspaces"

Lemma. X vector space.

1) $U, V \subseteq X$ complementary subspaces. Define the operators $P_U : X \rightarrow U \subseteq X, P_V : X \rightarrow V \subseteq X$ as follows :

$$P_U x = u_x, \quad P_V x = v_x \quad \text{where } x = u_x + v_x \text{ in (D)}$$

Then P_U and P_V are projections on X and $P_U + P_V = I$.

2) If P is a projection on X then the subspaces $\text{Ker } P$ and $\text{Im } P$ are complementary subspaces.

Proof. 1) Consider $P_U : X \rightarrow U \subseteq X$ by def. Let us show P_U is a projection.

- P_U is linear : $\forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}, \forall x, y \in X$ then $x = u_x + v_x, y = u_y + v_y$ (unique dec.)

$$\begin{aligned} P_U(\alpha x + \beta y) &= P_U(\alpha(u_x + v_x) + \beta(u_y + v_y)) \\ &= P_U((\alpha u_x + \beta u_y) + (\alpha v_x + \beta v_y)) = \alpha u_x + \beta u_y \end{aligned}$$

$$= \alpha P_U x + \beta P_U y$$

$$P_U^2 x = P_U(P_U x) = P_U u_x = u_x = P_U x \quad u_x = u_x + 0, \\ \forall x \in X \quad \text{hence } P_U^2 = P_U \quad \text{that is } P_U \text{ is a project.}$$

Similarly one can show that P_V is a project.

Finally $P_U + P_V = I$ comes from the decomposition $u_x + v_x = x, \forall x \in X$ (formula (D))

2) Assume P projection, $\forall x \in X$ define

$$u_x := Px \in \text{Im } P$$

$$v_x := (I - P)x \in \underbrace{\text{Im}(I - P)}_{= \text{Ker } P}$$

$$x = Px + \underbrace{Ix - Px}_{= (I - P)x} = u_x + v_x, \quad u_x \in \text{Im } P, \quad v_x \in \text{Ker } P$$

Let us show that the decomposition above is

unique : assume $x = u_x + v_x = u'_x + v'_x$,

$$u_x, u'_x \in \text{Im } P, \quad v_x, v'_x \in \text{Ker } P. \quad \text{Then}$$

$$u_x - u'_x = v'_x - v_x$$

Applying P to the left-hand side :

$$P(u_x - u'_x) = \underbrace{Pu_x - Pu'_x}_{\substack{u_x \in \text{Im } P \\ u'_x \in \text{Im } P}} = u_x - u'_x \quad \text{hence } Pu_x = u_x, \quad Pu'_x = u'_x$$

$$P(v'_x - v_x) = \underbrace{Pv'_x - Pv_x}_{\substack{v'_x \in \text{Ker } P \\ v_x \in \text{Ker } P}} = 0 \quad \text{hence } Pv'_x = 0, \quad Pv_x = 0$$

$$u_x - u'_x = 0 \Rightarrow u_x = u'_x$$

$$\text{hence } v'_x - v_x = u_x - u'_x = 0 \Rightarrow v'_x = v_x.$$

Definition. The projection $P_{\mathcal{U}}$ is called the "projection of X onto \mathcal{U} along V ", similarly for P_V .

Lemma. X normed space. $\mathcal{U}, V \subseteq X$ complementary subspaces. Then

1) \mathcal{U} and V are topologically complementary \Leftrightarrow

$P_{\mathcal{U}}$ and P_V are bounded

2) If \mathcal{U} and V are topologically complementary then \mathcal{U} and V closed.

Proof. 1) It follows immediately from the definition of topologically complementary subspaces.

2) $\mathcal{U} = \overline{\text{Im } P_{\mathcal{U}}} = \ker(I - P_{\mathcal{U}})$

$I \in \mathcal{B}(X)$, $P_{\mathcal{U}}$ by assumption is continuous

$\Rightarrow P_{\mathcal{U}}$ is bounded $\Rightarrow I - P_{\mathcal{U}} \in \mathcal{B}(X)$

hence $\ker(I - P_{U^\perp})$ is closed.

Similarly, $V = \ker(I - P_V)$.

Lemma. X Banach space. $U, V \subseteq X$ closed complementary subspaces in X . Then U and V are topologically complementary.

Example If H Hilbert space, $Y \subset H$ closed subspace then $H = Y \oplus Y^\perp$, hence Y, Y^\perp are closed complementary subspaces and by the previous lemma Y and Y^\perp are topologically complementary.

Ex. n.3 HM W3.