

A variant of $2^{\mathbb{N}}$, Hurewicz's dichotomy, and topological embeddability

In this lecture, we start talking about topological embeddability between functions.

We first need one more consequence of the box-open hypergraph dichotomy: Hurewicz's dichotomy.

Using hypergraphs to extend continuous functions

Given X a topological space, and $A \subseteq X$, note $\text{Cnvg}(A, X)$ the sequences in A converging in X . Write simply $\text{Cnvg}(X)$ for $\text{Cnvg}(X, X)$.

Prop 1: Let X, Y be metric spaces, and $A \subseteq X$. Suppose that there exists a homomorphism φ from $\text{Cnvg}(A, X)$ to $\text{Cnvg}(Y)$. Then φ is continuous and admits a continuous ext-

extension from \bar{A} , the closure of A , to Y .

~~ff:~~ We first prove that φ is continuous. Take $x_n \rightarrow x$ in A . Suppose that $\varphi(x_n) \rightarrow y \neq \varphi(x)$. Consider the sequence $(y_n)_n$ in A w/ $y_{2n} = x_n$ and $y_{2n+1} = x$. We have $y_n \rightarrow x$ in A , but $(\varphi(y_n))_n$ does not converge, contradicting the fact that φ is a homomorphism.

For $x \in \bar{A} \setminus A$, take any $(x_n)_n \in \text{Curg}(A, X)$ w/ $x_n \rightarrow x$, and fix $\bar{\varphi}(x) = \lim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \varphi(x_n)$.

First we prove that $\bar{\varphi}$ is well-defined, that is $\bar{\varphi}(x)$ does not depend on the choice of $(x_n)_n \rightarrow x$.

Suppose, towards contradiction, that there exists

$(y_n)_n \rightarrow x$ in $\text{Curg}(A, X)$ s.t $\lim \varphi(y_n) = y \neq \bar{\varphi}(x)$

Consider then the sequence $(z_n)_n$ defined by

$z_{2n} = x_n$ and $z_{2n+1} = y_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

We have both $(z_n)_n \subseteq A$ and $z_n \rightarrow x$,

but $(\varphi(z_n))_n$ fails to converge since $y \neq \varphi(x)$.

This contradicts the assumption that φ is a homomorphism from $\text{Curg}(A, X)$ to $\text{Curg}(Y)$.

We finally prove that $\bar{\varphi}$ is continuous. Take $x_n \rightarrow x$ in \bar{A} , and call $(x_n^o)_{n \in I_0}$ the subsequence of $(x_n)_n$ in A , and $(x_n^i)_{n \in I_1}$ the subsequence in $\bar{A} \setminus A$.

We need to prove that $\bar{\varphi}(x_n) \rightarrow \bar{\varphi}(x)$, and it is enough to prove that for $\varepsilon < 2$ if I_ε is ∞ then $\bar{\varphi}(x_n^\varepsilon) \rightarrow \bar{\varphi}(x)$. For $\varepsilon = 0$ this follows from the fact that φ is a homom.

So suppose that I_ε is infinite. Take a sequence of reals $\varepsilon_n > 0$ st $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$. By definition of $\bar{\varphi}$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $y_n \in A$ st both $d_x(x_n^i, y_n) < \varepsilon_n$ and $d_y(\varphi(x_n^i), \varphi(y_n)) < \varepsilon_n$. This implies that $y_n \rightarrow x$ and that $\lim \varphi(y_n) = \lim \bar{\varphi}(x_n^i) = \bar{\varphi}(x)$. \square

Corollary 2: X, Y metric, $\varphi: A \rightarrow Y$ continuous. If φ yields a homomorphism from $\text{Caug}(A, X \setminus A)$ to $\text{Caug}(Y)$ then φ extends to $\bar{\varphi}$ on \bar{A} .

Pf: Continuity of φ implies that $(x_n)_n \mapsto (\varphi(x_n))_n$ also maps $\text{Caug}(A)$ to $\text{Caug}(Y)$. \square

Remark We have used a criterion for convergence of sequences

that we will use regularly in the sequel of the course:

Let $(x_n)_n$ be a sequence in a topological space X , and $(I_h)_{h \leq m}$ a finite covering of \mathbb{N} in infinite sets.

Then $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to x if and only if for all $h \leq m$ $(x_n)_{n \in I_h}$ converges to x .

A compactification of $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$.

Unsurprisingly it is homeomorphic to $2^{\mathbb{N}}$. It is useful to represent it in a slightly different way, mainly for motivational reasons.

We note $\mathbb{N}_*^{\mathbb{N}}$ the set $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}} \cup \{s^\sim(\infty) \mid s \in \mathbb{N}^{<\mathbb{N}}\}$ equipped w/ the smallest topology making the sets N_s clopen, that is the one generated by the sets N_s and $\mathbb{N}_*^{\mathbb{N}} \setminus N_s$ as subbasis.

Define: • a map $\psi: \mathbb{N}_*^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{N}}, x \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}} \mapsto \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (0)^{x(n)} \sim (1)$
and $s^\sim(\infty) \mapsto \left(\prod_{n < |s|} (0)^{s(n)} \sim (1) \right) \sim (0)^\infty$, and

- Recall the bijections $\{1\}(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow 2^\omega$, $x \mapsto 1_x$ and $2^\omega \rightarrow \{1\}(x)$, $c \mapsto c^1(\{1\})$
- Call $\varphi: 2^\omega \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_*^\omega$ the following map: if X_c is the increasing enum. of $c^1(\{1\})$ for a given $c \in 2^\omega$ then $\varphi(c)(n) = X_c(n) - \left(1 + \sum_{m < n} X_c(m)\right)$, and if X_c is finite, we add $\varphi(c)(|X_c|) = \infty$.

Fact 3: φ is a homeomorphism from \mathbb{N}_*^ω to 2^ω w/ inverse τ .

Pf: $\varphi \circ \tau = \text{id}_{2^\omega}$ and $\tau \circ \varphi = \text{id}_{\mathbb{N}_*^\omega}$. We show continuity.

Take $t \in 2^{<\omega}$, there is a unique $s \in 2^{<\omega}$ and $n < \ell_g(t)$ s.t. $s(\ell_g(s)) = 1$ and $t = s \wedge (0)^n$. Set $c = s \wedge (0)^\infty$, $m = |X_c|$ and $u = \tau(c) \upharpoonright m$, then we have
 $\varphi^{-1}(N_t) = N_u \setminus \bigcup_{i < n} N_{u \wedge (i)}$ is open.

Take now $t \in \mathbb{N}^{<\omega}$, call $X_t = \left\{ \sum_{i \leq m} t(i) \mid m \in [1, \ell_g(t)] \right\}$ and $s \in 2^{\max(X_t)+1}$ the (finite) characteristic function of X_t then $\varphi^{-1}(N_t) = N_s$. \square

When $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of any kind of objects, and $I \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ we denote by $(x_n)_{\bar{I}}$ the sequence generated by \bar{I} , i.e. $(x_n)_{n \in I}$.
 Recall that $\bar{I} = \mathbb{N} \setminus (\mathbb{N} \setminus I)$.

recall that " $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}} = \bigcup_{s \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}} s^{\perp\!\!\!\perp}$ " $s \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ " $s \sim (n) = \{n\}$

Prop 4: X metric, φ cont. homom. from $H_{\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}}$ to $\text{Cvg}(X)$

Then φ extends to a continuous $\overline{\varphi}: \mathbb{N}_*^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow X$.

Pf: We apply Cor. 2: φ is continuous: $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow X$, and it yields a homomorphism from $\text{Cvg}(\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}, \mathbb{N}_*^{\mathbb{N}} \setminus \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}})$ to $\text{Cvg}(X)$.

Take indeed $(x_n)_n \in \text{Cvg}(\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}, \mathbb{N}_*^{\mathbb{N}} \setminus \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}})$, we have $x_n \rightarrow s \sim (\infty)$ for some $s \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$. Any infinite subsequence $(x_n)_I$ contains a further infinite subsequence $(x_n)_J$ for $J \subseteq I \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ that is moreover a subsequence of a $H_{\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}}$ -hyperedge contained in $N_{s \sim (n)}$ so $(\varphi(x_n))_J$ converges to s_J in X . The same interlacing technique as in Prop 1 yields that if $J \neq J'$ are subsequences of two hyperedges in $N_{s \sim (n)}$ then $s_J = s_{J'} \stackrel{x}{\sim}$, so in the end any subsequences of $(\varphi(x_n))_n$ has a subseq. converging to s , which means $(\varphi(x_n))_n$ converges to s . \square

Remark: we used here yet another convergence criterion:
 The sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to x if and only if
 for all infinite $I \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ there is an $\infty J \subseteq I$ w/
 $(x_n)_{J} \rightarrow x$.

Hurewicz's dichotomy

Thm (Hurewicz, Kechris-Louveau-Woodin) X metric, $A \subseteq X$
 analytic. Exactly one holds:

- ① A is Σ_2^0 , or F_σ
- ② There is a cont. reduction from $\mathbb{N}^\mathbb{N} \subseteq \mathbb{N}_*^\mathbb{N}$ to $A \subseteq X$.

Pf: $\mathbb{N}^\mathbb{N}$ is not Σ_2^0 in $\mathbb{N}_*^\mathbb{N}$, otherwise by comeagreness one of the closed sets would have non empty interior. So the conditions are incompatible.

Consider the graph $H = \text{Cvrg}(A, X \setminus A)$. Any H -independent sets is a closed subset of A , so if H has a cfbh colouring then A is F_σ .

But we have seen that H is box-open, so the box-open hypergraph dichotomy gives when H has no \mathbb{N} -coloring and cont. homom. from H_{nw} to H . Conclude using Prop. 4. \square

Rule: The game characterization seen during Lecture 3 gives the generalisations of the Hurewicz dichotomy to all subsets $A \subseteq X$ under AD.

Topological embeddability between functions

Def.: A topological embedding between spaces X and Y is a continuous map $\tau: X \rightarrow Y$ that is injective and s.t. $\tau^{-1}: \text{im } \tau \rightarrow X$ is also continuous.

- When X and Y are metrizable, $\sigma: X \rightarrow Y$ is a topological embedding iff for all sequences (x_n)

in X , we have $(x_n) \rightarrow x \Leftrightarrow \sigma(x_n) \rightarrow \sigma(x)$
 In other words, r is a reduction from $\text{Cvrg}(X)$ to $\text{Cvrg}(Y)$.

- Given $f: X \rightarrow Y$ and $g: X' \rightarrow Y'$ we say
 $y \hookrightarrow y'$ that f (topologically) embeds in g and we write
 $\begin{array}{c} f \sqsubseteq g \\ \uparrow \sigma \\ X \xrightarrow{\sigma} X' \end{array}$ if there are two top. emb. $\sigma: X \rightarrow X'$
 and $\iota: \text{im } f \rightarrow \text{im } g$ st $\iota \circ f = g \circ \sigma$. We also
 say that (σ, ι) is an (top.) embedding from f to g .

Rmks. • ι is entirely determined by f, g and σ
 through the equation $\iota(f(x)) = g(\sigma(x))$.
 So if (r, ι) and (σ, ι') embed f in g then $\iota = \iota'$
 We sometimes say that σ embeds f in g .

- In order for σ to embed f in g , it has to
 reduce the eq. relation induced by f to that induced
 by g , so $(f(x) = f(y) \Leftrightarrow g(\sigma(x)) = g(\sigma(y)))$.
 In that case $f(n) \mapsto g(\sigma(n))$ defines
 an injective function. For σ to embed f in g , it

is necessary and sufficient that this is moreover an embedding.

- If f is injective and g is not then they are incomparable.
- If f embeds in g and g is Σ_α^0 -measurable, then so is f .
- $f \sqsubseteq g \Rightarrow \text{graph}(f)$ embeds in g , but the converse is false! Think about id and a constant on $\mathbb{N}^\mathbb{N}$ or Ω

First basic results, maximality-

Let $d_0 : \omega+1 \rightarrow 2$ be $1_{\{\omega\}}$, and $d_1 : \omega+1 \rightarrow \omega$ a bijection.

Prop5: X, Y sep. metriz., $f : X \rightarrow Y$ discontinuous.
Then $d_0 \sqsubseteq f$ or $d_1 \sqsubseteq f$.

If: take $x_n \rightarrow x$ in X ST $f(x_n) \not\rightarrow f(x)$ in Y .
 by passing to a subseq. we can suppose that
 $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \quad x_n \neq x$, and to an even further subseq.
 we can suppose that $(x_n)_n$ is injective in X .

Case 1 $\{f(x_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ finite. Pass to a subseq.
 on which f is constant and $c_0 \sqsubseteq f$.

Case 2: $\{f(x_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ infinite. Pass to a subseq. on which
 f is 1-1 and $d_1 \sqsubseteq f$. \square

[CPZ article, Prop. 6.2]

Given a spec X denote c_X a constant on X and
 id_X the identity on X .

Prop 6: X, Y Polish spaces, X uncountable, and $f: X \rightarrow Y$ Baire-meas.
 Then $c_{2^{\mathbb{N}}} \sqsubseteq f$ or $\text{id}_{2^{\mathbb{N}}} \sqsubseteq f$.

Pf: By Baire measurability, there is a comeager II_1° -set C
 st $f \upharpoonright C$ is continuous, so wlog f is continuous.

If $f^{-1}(\{x\})$ is uncountable for some $x \in Y$, then
 by embedding $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ in $f^{-1}(\{x\})$ (using PSP) we embed

C_{2^N} in f .

Otherwise f is countable-to-1, so by Lusin-Novikov [see for instance B. Miller's notes, thm 2.2.23]. There is a Borel cover $(B_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of X s.t. $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} f \upharpoonright B_n$ is injective. For some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ B_n is uncountable, so there exists an embed. $r: 2^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow B_n$. But for being injective and cont. on $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ compact, it is an embedding, so $(f \circ r, r)$ embeds $\text{id}_{2^{\mathbb{N}}}$ into f . \square .

Prop 7: X, Y Polish, $f: X \rightarrow Y$ Borel.

| If im f is uncountable then $\text{id}_{2^{\mathbb{N}}} \subseteq f$.

Pf: Same idea, but use first Silver's dichotomy or $\exists p: x \in_p y$ $\exists C \subseteq 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ $f(x) = f(y)$ to get $C \cong 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ w/ $f \upharpoonright C$ injective, then $C \subseteq C_0$ s.t. $f \upharpoonright C_0$ is moreover continuous. Finish as in Prop 7. \square

Prop 8: $\text{proj}: [0,1]^{\mathbb{N}} \times [0,1]^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow [0,1]^{\mathbb{N}}$ is maximal for all continuous functions between separable metrizable spaces wrt top. emb.

Pf : Take X, Y sep. metrizable, $f: X \rightarrow Y$ continuous.
 Universality of $[0, 1]^\omega$ gives embeddings
 $\sigma': X \rightarrow [0, 1]^\omega$ and $\tau: Y \rightarrow [0, 1]^\omega$
 Set $\sigma: X \rightarrow [0, 1]^\omega \times [0, 1]^\omega$
 $x \mapsto (\sigma'(x), \tau f(x))$, it is an embedding,
 and (σ, τ) embeds f in proj. \square

Cor 9 $\{\text{proj}, d_0, d_1\}$ is a maximal antichain for
 functions between sep. metrizable spaces wrt \sqsubseteq .

The (Caenoy - Pequignot - Vidnyanszky) X, Y Polish,
 X unctble, $1 \leq \mathfrak{J} < \omega$.
 There is no \sqsubseteq -maximal $\sum_{\mathfrak{J}}^0$ -meas. function.

Some questions and problems:

- ① What is the most general setting for Prop 5 to 8?
- ② Adapt Prop 6 to countable spaces.
- ③ What becomes the previous Thm for cthle domains?
- ④ Aside the one given by Cor. 9, other examples

of finite maximal L-sequences:

Meet-embeddings on $\mathbb{N}^{<\mathbb{N}}$ vs endomorphisms of $\mathbb{N}_+^{\mathbb{N}}$.

The meet of seq. $s, t \in \mathbb{N}^{<\mathbb{N}}$ is the seq. $r = s \wedge t$ of max. length $|s \wedge t| \leq |s|$ and $r \subseteq t$.

A meet-emb. is an inj. $\pi: \mathbb{N}^{<\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^{<\mathbb{N}}$ st $\pi(s \wedge t) = \pi(s) \wedge \pi(t)$.

Prop 10: $\pi: \mathbb{N}^{<\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^{<\mathbb{N}}$ is a \wedge -emb. iff:

$$\textcircled{1} \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N} \quad \forall f \in \mathbb{N}^{<\mathbb{N}} \quad \pi(f) \sqsubseteq \pi(f \wedge (\cdot : i))$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad \forall i, j \in \mathbb{N} \quad \forall f \in \mathbb{N}^{<\mathbb{N}} \quad (i \neq j \Rightarrow \pi(f \wedge (i))(\text{rg}(\pi(f))) \neq \pi(f \wedge (j))(\text{rg}(\pi(f))))$$

Pf: Supp. π is \wedge -emb.

$$\textcircled{1} \quad \pi(f) = \pi(f) \wedge \pi(f \wedge (\cdot : 1)) \Rightarrow \pi(f) \sqsubseteq \pi(f \wedge (\cdot : 1))$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad \pi(f) = \pi(f \wedge (i)) \wedge \pi(f \wedge (j)) \text{ and } \pi(f \wedge (i)) \neq \pi(f \wedge (j))$$

Supp. $\textcircled{1}$ and $\textcircled{2}$.

Take $s \neq f$. Set $r = s \wedge t$. wlog $|r| < |s| \Rightarrow \pi(r) \sqsubset \pi(s)$

so either $r = t$ or $|r| < |t|$ and $\textcircled{2}$ gives $\pi(s)(\text{rg}(\pi(r))) \neq \pi(f)(\text{rg}(\pi(r)))$

In both cases we have $\pi(s) \neq \pi(f)$ and $\pi(r) = \pi(s) \wedge \pi(f)$. \square

Cor : If $\pi: \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ satisfies $\pi(f)^\sim(i) \subseteq \pi(f^\sim(i))$
 then it is a \sim -embedding.

Cor : Any \sim -embed. π induces a top. emb. $\bar{\pi}: \mathbb{N}_*^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_*^{\mathbb{N}}$

Pf. Apply Prop 1, noticing that $(s_n^\sim(\infty)) \rightarrow s^\sim(\infty)$ in $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ then
 by ① $f\pi(s_n)^\sim(\infty))$ converges in $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ and $s_n^\sim(\infty) \rightarrow s^\sim(\infty)$ in $\mathbb{N}_*^{\mathbb{N}} \setminus \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$
 then $\pi(s_n)^\sim(\infty)$ converges to $\pi(s)^\sim(\infty)$ using ②.
 So $s^\sim(\infty) \mapsto \bar{\pi}(s)^\sim(\infty)$ is contr. and extends
 to a contr (and inj) map $\bar{\pi}: \mathbb{N}_*^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_*^{\mathbb{N}}$ \square