

PHD COURSE  
ON

MODEL COMPANIONSHIP RESULTS  
FOR

SET THEORY

LECTURE 41      21/6/2022

$\omega$  is a steply of  $P(\mathbb{Z})$  for all finite ~~me~~ sets  $X$  (1)

$P(\omega) \approx$  second order arithmetic

↓

$P(P(\omega)) \approx$  third order arithmetic  ~~$P(\mathbb{Z})$~~   $\forall \alpha$

$P(\mathbb{Q})$

$\text{Cod}_K \approx$

$\text{HK} + \leq 1$

✓

Cor. If  $F \supseteq ZFC_\tau$  and  $\tau \geq \epsilon_{\Delta_0}$  ~~is~~ (2)

and  $T_{\forall\exists} + \neg CH$  is consistent, then

$\nexists CH \notin SCH(\tau) \models Z_{\Delta_0}^- + \text{certain amount of replacement}$



AC

$\exists \Pi_2$ -sent  $\psi$  for  $\tau$  s.t.

$\nexists \psi + R_{\forall\exists}$  is

cons.  $\forall R \supseteq T_{\forall\exists}$

~~$(\exists \forall \exists \exists F F : \omega \rightarrow X$  is surjection~~  
~~if there is a constant for  $\omega_1$~~

$= AMC(\tau)$   
 if  $AMC \notin \tau$   
 exists

all

$KH(\tau) =$

$\{ \Pi_2$ -sent for  $\tau$   $\psi$  s.t.  
 $M \models \psi \iff M$  is  $\tau$ -ec

$\} = MC(\tau)$  if  $MC(\tau)$  exists

~~$\nexists \omega_1$  is first unc. card, c.e.  $\tau \geq \epsilon_{\Delta_0}$  of  $\omega_1$~~

-  $\neg CH \in SCH(T)$  for any  $T \supseteq ZFC_{\tau} + LC$  (3)  
 $\uparrow$  a theory of  $H_{\omega_2}$   $\uparrow$  (exists class many Woodin)

$$E_{\Delta_0} \cup \{\omega_1\} \subseteq \tau \subseteq E_{\Delta_1} \cup \{\omega_1, NS\} \cup (\text{reasonable families of UB-sets})$$

More generally

-  $\exists E_{\Delta_0} \cup \{\omega_1\} \subseteq \tau$  and  $T \supseteq ZFC_{\tau} + \omega_1$  is first unc. card.

and  $\psi$  is  $M_2$   $\neg \psi \notin SCH(T)$   $\exists \psi + T_{HVA}^{\tau}$  is cons.

-  $E_{\Delta_0} \cup \{\omega_1\} \subseteq \tau \subseteq E_{\Delta_1} \cup \{\omega_1, NS\}$  (of certain UB-sets) and  $T \supseteq ZFC_{\tau} + LC + \omega_1$  is first unc. card



and  $\psi$  is  $\pi_2$  for  $\tau$

(4)

$\psi \in SCH(\tau)$

iff

$\tau \models \exists P \ P \# \psi^{H_{\omega_2}}$

Moore (Aspero, Casadeo Velickovic, Todorcevic, Woodin)

There is a  $\pi_2$ -sentence  $\psi_{\text{Moore}}$  in  $\mathcal{E}_{\Delta_2} \cup \{NS, \omega_2\}$  s.t.  $\tau_0$  proper or semiproper or SSP  $\psi_{\text{Moore}}$  in  $\mathcal{E}_{\Delta_2} \cup \{NS, \omega_2\}$

$ZFC \# \exists P \ P \# \psi_{\text{Moore}} \iff \psi_{\text{Moore}} \in SCH(ZFC_{\tau})$

$ZFC_{\tau_0} \# NS$  is the non-stat  $\omega_2$  is first un. card  $\psi \models$  there is a def mable w.o. of  $P(\omega)$  in type  $\kappa_2$

for any  $\tau \in \mathcal{E}_{\Delta_2} \cup \{NS, \omega_2\}$  (countably closed sets)

Here is  $\Phi(x, y, z, w)$  ~~some~~ formula of  $T_0$  (5)

s.t.  $ZFC_{\omega_1} + NS$  is stat +  $\omega_2$  is first unc. card +  
 $T_0$  b is something + c is something ~~more~~

$\forall z (z \leq \omega \rightarrow \exists \alpha$   $\alpha$  is an ordinal of size at most  $\aleph_2$  and  $\Phi(z, \alpha, b, c)$ )

$T_0 \models \forall \alpha \forall z \forall s (z \leq \omega \wedge s \leq \omega \wedge \Phi(z, \alpha, b, c) \wedge \Phi(s, \alpha, b, c))$   
 $\downarrow$   
 $z = s$

Def Cor of  $T \geq ZFC_\tau$  and

(6)

$\tau \geq \epsilon_{\Delta_1} \cup \{\omega_1, NS\}$  and

$T_{\forall \exists}^\tau + \Psi_{Morre}$  is consistent

$2^{\aleph_0} \not\approx \aleph_2 \notin SCH(\tau)$



and if  $\epsilon_{\Delta_0} \cup \{\omega_1, NS\} (\cup \text{certain UB-sets}) \geq \tau$

$SCH(\tau) \models$  there is a definable surjection of the ordinals of size at most  $\omega_\omega$  onto  $P(\omega)$

$\uparrow$   
 $2^{\aleph_0} = \aleph_2$

$\{V_\alpha[G] : G \text{ is } V\text{-generic for some } P \in V\}^{\textcircled{7}}$

||

$\{V_\alpha : \alpha \in \text{Ord}\}$

- Generic Multiverse over  $M$  ctm of ZFC

$\{M V_\alpha^M[G] : G \text{ } M\text{-generic for some } P \in M\}$



Def.: Given  $B$  a bn (8)

then a  $B$ -valued model for  $\tau$

$\mathcal{M} = (M, R : R \in \tau, \text{rel-symbol}, \text{f} : \text{f} \in \tau, \text{funct symbol}, \text{c} : \text{c} \in \tau, \text{constant})$

-  $(a_1 \dots a_n) \mapsto [R(a_1 \dots a_n)]_B^{\mathcal{M}}$

-  $R : M^n \rightarrow B$  where  $n$  is the arity of  $R$

-  $f : M^m \rightarrow M$  where  $m$  is the arity of  $f$

-  $c \in M$

-  $= : M^2 \rightarrow B$

$(a, b) \mapsto [a = b]_B^{\mathcal{M}}$

$$- [\tau = \tau] = 1_B \quad \forall \tau \in M$$



$$- [\tau = \sigma] = [\sigma = \tau] \quad \forall \tau, \sigma \in M$$

$$- [\tau \leq \sigma] \wedge [\sigma = \eta] \leq [\tau = \eta] \quad \forall \tau, \sigma, \eta \in M$$

$$- [R(\tau_1 \dots \tau_m)] \wedge \bigwedge_{i=1}^m [\tau_i = \sigma_i] \leq [R(\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_m)]$$

$$- [P(\tau_1 \dots \tau_m) = \sigma] \wedge \bigwedge_{i=1}^m [\tau_i = \sigma_i] \leq [P(\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_m) = \sigma]$$

given a  $\tau$ -formula  $f(x_1, \dots, x_m)$   
 and  $v: \text{Free Var} \rightarrow M$

(10)

$$[f]_B^{M, v} = [R(v(t_1) \dots v(t_m))]_B^M$$

if  $f$  is  
 ~~$R(x_1 \dots x_n)$~~   
 $R(t_1(\vec{x}) \dots t_n(\vec{x}))$

$$[f \wedge \psi] = [f] \wedge [\psi]$$

$$[f \vee \psi] = [f] \vee [\psi]$$

$$[\exists x f] = \tau_{RO(B)} [f]$$

$$[\exists x f(x)]_B^{M, v} = \bigvee_{RO(B)} \{ [f]_B^{M, v} \}$$

with

$$\left. \begin{aligned} v_c(y) &= v(y) \text{ for } y \neq x \\ v_c(x) &= c \end{aligned} \right\}$$

Def: Given  $\mathcal{M}$   $B$ -valued for  $\tau$  (1.1)  
 and  $\mathcal{N}$   $C$ -valued for  $\tau$

$(\mathcal{L}, \phi)$  is a boolean morphism of  $\mathcal{M}$   
 $\phi: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  into to  $\mathcal{N}$  if

$\mathcal{L}: R(B) \rightarrow R(C)$  is a complete homomorphism st  
 $\mathcal{L} \uparrow B: B \rightarrow C$

$$\mathcal{L}([[\tau = \sigma]]_B^{\mathcal{M}}) \neq [\phi(\tau) = \phi(\sigma)]_C^{\mathcal{N}}$$

$$\mathcal{L}([R(\tau_1 \dots \tau_n)]_B^{\mathcal{M}}) \leq [R(\phi(\tau_1) \dots \phi(\tau_n))]_C^{\mathcal{N}}$$

$$\mathcal{L}([[\bigwedge_{i=1}^n \sigma_i = \sigma]]_B^{\mathcal{M}}) \leq [\bigwedge_{i=1}^n \phi(\sigma_i) = \phi(\sigma)]_C^{\mathcal{N}}$$



$(\gamma, \phi)$  is an embedding if  $\gamma$  is injective (12)

and  $\phi$  equalities replace inequalities.

Given  $(M, E)$  model of ZFC

$M^B$  is and  $B$  s.t.  $(M, E) \models B$  is a ba

$$M^B = \{ f : f : M^B \rightarrow B \}^M$$

$\exists \tau (E_1 \dots E_n)$  is some  $\tau$

$\exists$  for  $R_f \in E_{\Delta_0}$   $f \in E_{\Delta_0}^{M^B}$   $C \in \Delta_0$   $(M, E) \models [\phi(E_1 \dots E_n, \tau)]_{RO(B)}^{M^B}$   
 $\parallel$   
 $\exists_{RO(B)}$

$$\exists [R_f(E_1 \dots E_n)]_B^{M^B} = [\exists (E_1 \dots E_n)]_{RO(B)}^{M^B}$$

Let  $B$  be a ba in  $M$

(13)

and  $\kappa \in M^B$  be s.t.

$(M^B, E) \models \left[ \kappa \text{ is a regular cardinal} \right]_B = 1_{RO(B)^M}$

$H_\kappa^B = \left\{ \tau \in M^B : \left[ \tau \in H_\kappa^B \mid \text{trcl}(\tau) \leq \kappa \right]_B = 1_B \right\}$

if  $M$  is a proper class

it is actually the case that for some  $\alpha \in \text{Ord}$

there ~~are~~ for any  $\tau \in H_\kappa^B$  there is  $\sigma \in M^B$   
s.t.  $\llbracket \tau = \sigma \rrbracket = 1_B$ . Wlog if we can assume  $H_\kappa^B$  is a set  
always.

$$\left( H_{i,k}^B, \epsilon_{\Delta_0}^{H^B} \right) \lesssim_2 \left( M^B, \epsilon_{\Delta_0}^{MP} \right)$$

(14)

if  $\eta$  is  $V$ -generic for  $B$

$$H_{i,k}^B[\eta] = \left( \cancel{H_{i,k}^B}, \epsilon_{\Delta_0}^{V[\eta]} \right) \lesssim_2 \left( V[\eta], \epsilon_{\Delta_0}^{V[\eta]} \right)$$

Cor if  $\eta \in \text{St}(B)$

$$\left( \frac{H_{i,k}^B}{\eta}, \frac{\epsilon_{\Delta_0}^B}{\eta} \right) \lesssim_2 \left( \frac{V^B}{\eta}, \frac{\epsilon_{\Delta_0}^B}{\eta} \right)$$

Given  $(M, E) \neq ZFC$

(15)

The generic multiverse over  $M$  is

given by

$$\left\{ \left( \frac{H_{\kappa}^{M^B}}{\mathcal{G}}, \frac{E_{\Delta_0}^{M^B}}{\mathcal{G}} \right) : \begin{array}{l} B \in M \text{ } M \neq B \text{ is a br} \\ \zeta \in St(B) \text{ } [\kappa \text{ is a cardinal}] \\ \parallel \\ \downarrow RO(B)^M \end{array} \right\}$$

$\mathbb{A}$   
 $\cdot V$



# Forcing Theorem

(16)

Let  $B, M, \dots$

For  $b \in B$

TFAE

-  $b \in \left[ \rho(\tau_1 \dots \tau_n) \right]$

-  $\left\{ \zeta \in St(B) : \frac{M^B}{\zeta} \models \rho([\tau_1]_{\zeta} \dots [\tau_n]_{\zeta}) \right\}$  is dense

-  $\forall \zeta \in St(B) \exists b \in \zeta \frac{M^B}{\zeta} \models \rho([\tau_1]_{\zeta} \dots [\tau_n]_{\zeta})$  in  $N_b$

The forcing theorem holds as well if  $\mathbb{M}^B$  is replaced by  $H_{\kappa}^{\mathbb{M}^B}$  if  $\kappa^+$

---

More generally the following is the case

Def: A  $B$ -valued model  $\mathcal{M}$  is full if for any  $\varphi(x_0, \dots, x_n)$  and  $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n \in \mathcal{A}$

$$\left[ \exists x_0 \varphi(x_0, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_n) \right]_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{M}} = \left[ \varphi(\varepsilon, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_n) \right]_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{M}} \text{ for some } \varepsilon \in \mathcal{M}.$$

Then: If a  $B$ -valued model  $M$  (18) is full then for any  $f(x_1 \dots x_n)$  and  $t_1 \dots t_n \in M$  the following holds:

TFAE

$$(i) \quad \frac{M}{\mathcal{L}} \models f([t_1]_{\mathcal{L}} \dots [t_n]_{\mathcal{L}})$$

$$(ii) \quad [f(t_1 \dots t_n)] \in \mathcal{L}$$

TFAE for  $b \in B$

$$(i) \quad [f(t_1 \dots t_n)] \geq b$$

$$(ii) \quad \{ \mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{N}_b : \frac{M}{\mathcal{L}} \models f([t_1]_{\mathcal{L}} \dots [t_n]_{\mathcal{L}}) \} \text{ is dense in } \mathcal{N}_b = \{ \mathcal{L} : b \in \mathcal{L} \}$$

Def: Given  $\mathcal{M}$  a  $B$ -valued model (19)  
and  $F \subseteq B$  a filter

$\mathcal{M}/F$  is a  $B/F$ -valued model

with the elements  $[\tau]_F = \{s \in \mathcal{M} : [s = \tau] \in F\}$

$$R([\tau_1]_F \dots [\tau_n]_F) = \left[ [R(\tau_1 \dots \tau_n)] \right]_F \in B/F$$

$$b([\tau_1]_F \dots [\tau_n]_F) = [b(\tau_1 \dots \tau_n)]_F$$



Then if  $(M, E) \models ZFC$

(20)

$(M^B, \varepsilon_{\Delta_0}^{M^B})$  is a full  $RO(B)^M$ -model  
for  $\varepsilon_{\Delta_0}$

---

$(H_{\aleph_1}^{M^B}, \varepsilon_{\Delta_0}^{M^B})$  is also full for  $\varepsilon_{\Delta_0}$

---

Given  $M$  if  $F = \{1_B\}$  and  $(\mathcal{L}, \phi) : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  is  
a boolean morphism  $\mathcal{M}/F \rightarrow \mathcal{N}/F$

$[c=6] = 1_B$   
 $[c]_F = [6]_F$