

The Banach fixed point theorem (Teorema di Banach-Caccioppoli)

Let (M, d) be a metric space, $\phi : M \rightarrow M$ and $z \in M$.

- a) z is called a **fixed point** of ϕ if $\phi(z) = z$.
- b) ϕ is called a **strict contraction** if

$$\exists L \in [0, 1) \quad \forall x, y \in M : \quad d(\phi(x), \phi(y)) \leq L d(x, y).$$

Theorem. Let (M, d) be **complete** and ϕ a strict contraction. Then ϕ has a **unique** fixed point. If $x_0 \in M$ is an arbitrary element of M and one defines (recursively) the sequence

$$x_n = \phi(x_{n-1}), \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots,$$

then

$$x_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} z.$$

Moreover, the following error estimates are valid:

a) A-priori estimate: $d(x_n, z) \leq \frac{L^n}{1-L} d(x_1, x_0)$ for every n .

b) A-posteriori estimate: $d(x_n, z) \leq \frac{L}{1-L} d(x_n, x_{n-1})$ for every n .

PROOF: There is at most one fixed point, since if $z = \phi(z)$ and $z' = \phi(z')$ then

$$d(z, z') = d(\phi(z), \phi(z')) \leq L d(z, z'),$$

hence $d(z, z') = 0$, i.e., $z = z'$. For the existence note that

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_{k+1}, x_k) &= d(\phi(x_k), \phi(x_{k-1})) \\ &\leq L d(x_k, x_{k-1}) = L d(\phi(x_{k-1}), \phi(x_{k-2})) \\ &\leq L^2 d(x_{k-1}, x_{k-2}) \leq \dots \leq L^k d(x_1, x_0). \end{aligned}$$

Then, for every indices $m > n$,

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_m, x_n) &\leq d(x_m, x_{m-1}) + d(x_{m-1}, x_{m-2}) + \dots + d(x_{n+1}, x_n) \\ &\leq \sum_{\ell=n}^{m-1} L^\ell d(x_1, x_0) \leq L^n \sum_{\ell=0}^{+\infty} L^\ell d(x_1, x_0) = \frac{L^n}{1-L} d(x_1, x_0). \end{aligned}$$

Since $L^n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} 0$ this shows that (x_n) is a Cauchy-sequence in M . Since M is complete, the sequence converges; call z its limit. Then, using the continuity of ϕ ,

$$z \xleftarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} x_{n+1} = \phi(x_n) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} \phi(z)$$

shows that z is a fixed point of ϕ . Moreover,

$$d(z, x_n) \xleftarrow{m \rightarrow +\infty} d(x_m, x_n) \leq \frac{L^n}{1-L} d(x_1, x_0)$$

shows the a-priori estimate. Similarly one finds the a-posteriori estimate: First one has

$$d(x_m, x_n) \leq \sum_{\ell=0}^{m-n-1} d(x_{n+\ell+1}, x_{n+\ell}) \leq \sum_{\ell=0}^{m-n-1} L^{\ell+1} d(x_n, x_{n-1}).$$

Passing to the limit $m \rightarrow +\infty$ yields

$$d(z, x_n) \leq \sum_{\ell=0}^{+\infty} L^{\ell+1} d(x_n, x_{n-1}) = \frac{L}{L+1} d(x_n, x_{n-1}).$$

This completes the proof. ■

An application: Initial value problems

Let $f : [a, b] \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a continuous function satisfying the **Lipschitz condition**

$$\|f(t, x) - f(t, x')\| \leq C \|x - x'\| \quad \forall t \in [a, b] \quad \forall x, x' \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

with some constant $C \geq 0$. Let $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $t_0 \in [a, b]$ be fixed.

Picard-Lindelöf Theorem (global version). *With the previous notation, there exists a unique solution $x \in C^1([a, b], \mathbb{R}^n)$ of the initial value problem*

$$x'(t) = f(t, x(t)), \quad x(t_0) = x_0. \quad (1)$$

PROOF: By the main theorem of calculus, being a continuously differentiable solution of (1) is equivalent to being a continuous solution of the integral equation

$$x(t) = x_0 + \int_{t_0}^t f(s, x(s)) ds. \quad (2)$$

Now let $M := C([a, b], \mathbb{R}^n)$ and define a metric on M by

$$\mathbf{d}(g, h) = \max_{a \leq t \leq b} e^{-(C+1)|t-t_0|} \|g(t) - h(t)\|.$$

Recall that the standard metric on M is given by

$$d(g, h) = \max_{a \leq t \leq b} \|g(t) - h(t)\|.$$

Since

$$e^{-(C+1)(b-a)} \leq e^{-(C+1)|t-t_0|} \leq 1 \quad \forall t \in [a, b],$$

d and \mathbf{d} are **equivalent** metrics on M . Hence (M, \mathbf{d}) is a complete metric space.

Now we consider the map $\phi : M \rightarrow M$ defined by

$$[\phi(g)](t) = x_0 + \int_{t_0}^t f(s, g(s)) ds, \quad t \in [a, b]. \quad (3)$$

We shall show below that ϕ is a strict contraction on (M, \mathbf{d}) with constant $L = \frac{C}{C+1} < 1$. Hence there exists a unique fixed point of ϕ in M . This fixed point is the unique solution of (2) and thus of (1).

Let us assume for simplicity that $t_0 = a$ (the general case works analogously); thus $|t - t_0| = t - a$ for all $t \in [a, b]$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |[\phi(g)](t) - [\phi(h)](t)| &= \left| \int_a^t f(s, g(s)) - f(s, h(s)) ds \right| \\ &\leq C \int_a^t |g(s) - h(s)| ds \\ &= C \int_a^t e^{(C+1)(s-a)} e^{-(C+1)(s-a)} |g(s) - h(s)| ds \\ &\leq C \mathbf{d}(g, h) \int_a^t e^{(C+1)(s-a)} ds \\ &\leq C \mathbf{d}(g, h) \frac{1}{C+1} e^{(C+1)(s-a)} \Big|_{s=a}^{s=t} \\ &= \frac{C}{C+1} \mathbf{d}(g, h) \left(e^{(C+1)(t-a)} - 1 \right) \\ &\leq \frac{C}{C+1} \mathbf{d}(g, h) e^{(C+1)(t-a)}. \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying from the left with $e^{-(C+1)(t-a)}$ and the passing to the maximum over $t \in [a, b]$ yields

$$\mathbf{d}(\phi(g), \phi(h)) \leq \frac{C}{C+1} \mathbf{d}(g, h)$$

for arbitrary $g, h \in M$. ■

Example. Let us consider the initial value problem

$$x'(t) = x(t), \quad x(0) = 1.$$

Here $n = 1$ and $f(t, x) = x$. Obviously f satisfies the Lipschitz condition on every interval $[-a, a]$ with constant $C = 1$. The map ϕ from (3) becomes

$$[\phi(g)](t) = 1 + \int_0^t x(s) ds.$$

Let us calculate the sequence of functions (g_n) defined by

$$g_0 \equiv 1, \quad g_n = \phi(g_{n-1}).$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}g_1(t) &= 1 + \int_0^t 1 \, ds = 1 + t, \\g_2(t) &= 1 + \int_0^t 1 + s \, ds = 1 + t + \frac{t^2}{2}, \\&\vdots \\g_n(t) &= 1 + \int_0^t g_{n-1}(s) \, ds = 1 + t + \frac{t^2}{2} + \dots + \frac{t^n}{n!}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$g_n(t) = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{t^k}{k!} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \frac{t^k}{k!} = e^t.$$

Hence $x(t) = e^t$ is the unique (on \mathbb{R}) solution of the initial value problem.